

2014

Coordination with goon and Bisyndetic =gon in Dongolawi and Kenzi Proverbs

Marcus Jaeger
marcusjaeg@yahoo.de

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.fairfield.edu/djns>

Recommended Citation

Jaeger, Marcus (2014) "Coordination with goon and Bisyndetic =gon in Dongolawi and Kenzi Proverbs," *Dotawo: A Journal of Nubian Studies*: Vol. 1 , Article 5.

Available at: <http://digitalcommons.fairfield.edu/djns/vol1/iss1/5>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by DigitalCommons@Fairfield. It has been accepted for inclusion in Dotawo: A Journal of Nubian Studies by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@Fairfield. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@fairfield.edu.

Coordination with *goon* and Bisyndetic =*gon* in Dongolawi and Kenzi Proverbs

Marcus Jaeger*

1. Introduction

Both Dongolawi¹ and Kenzi² are Nile-Nubian languages, belonging to the Nubian language family. Along with Tama, Nyima, Nara, and the extinct Meroitic language, Nubian represents the Northern branch of the Eastern Sudanic language group.³ This group is ultimately part of the Nilo-Saharan language phylum.

The Dongolawi language area is situated around the town of Dongola in Northern Sudan, the Kenzi language around Aswan and Kom Ombo, both in southern Egypt. In spite of being 800 km apart from each other, the Dongolawi and Kenzi languages show significant similarities to each other in all linguistic aspects. There are

* My British friends Geoffrey Sutton and Derek Cheeseman improved the English grammar and spelling of the paper. Dr Angelika Jakobi read different versions of the paper and commented on them in her usual very detailed way. Prof Ahmed Sokarno Abdel-Hafiz discussed some aspects of *goon* with me. He impresses me by staying and working in his Nubian village environment which occurs rarely among Nubian scholars.

Most of the thanks for this research belongs to the Kenzi and Dongolawi Nubians who sat with me for endless hours, inviting me for lots of cups of tea (and coffee and karkade and meals and...), teaching me their language, patiently answering my questions and making me feel at home with them. Among them I want to mention especially the Dongolawi El-Shafie El-Guzuuli from Khannaag. Some of the time writing the article I stayed with him using the opportunity to ask many questions, getting honest answers. Muhammad Hassan from Tura' explained many of Hämüd Khabir AlShaich's collected proverbs. Among the Kenuzi 'Abdel-Rahman 'Awwad and Khälid 'Awwad from Siyaala, Fathi 'Abdel-Sayid from Dakka and Thäbit Zäki Mukhtar from Ombarkaab were especially helpful.

- 1 'Dongolawi' is a term used by outsiders. The speakers call their language 'Andaandi' (meaning 'which belongs to us') but do not give a specific name to themselves. 'Oshkir' is another outsider term applied by Nobiin speakers. I use the term 'Dongolawi' as in other academic papers.
- 2 'Kenuzi' as an ethnic group and 'Kenzi' as a language name are also terms used by outsiders. The people call their language and their ethnic group 'Mattokki' (with different interpretations of the term). In order to stay consistent with the term 'Dongolawi' I use the terms 'Kenuzi' for the speakers and 'Kenzi' for the language.
- 3 RILLY, "The Linguistic Position of Meroitic."

different theories about the reason for that closeness depending on historical assumptions.

The early development in classification of the Nile Nubian languages is summarized by Herzog:

94

Die vor 1879 gedruckten Abhandlungen schwanken ausnahmslos nur zwischen zwei oder drei Gruppen, je nachdem, ob der Author die Kenuzi und Danagla als Einheit betrachtete.⁴

In the 20th century due to the similarities between the two languages most Western scholars and their publications regard Dongolawi and Kenzi as one single language.⁵

The latest edition of the *Ethnologue* regards Dongolawi and Kenzi as separate languages, for sociolinguistic reasons.⁶ Many speakers of Dongolawi and Kenzi believe that they speak different languages⁷ although they also realize that their languages are closely related. In the following I distinguish between Dongolawi and Kenzi providing evidence of some linguistic differences between both languages.

The most important study on the Dongolawi Nubian language in the 20th century is the grammar by Armbruster⁸ with other grammars written earlier. On Kenzi Nubian spoken in southern Egypt in the 20th century there are grammatical studies by Massenbach and the Kenzi mother-tongue speaker Abdel-Hafiz.⁹

This paper looks at coordination¹⁰ with *goon* and bisyndetic =*gon*¹¹ in the context of adversative and contrast marking in both Dongolawi and Kenzi.

4 HERZOG, *Die Nubier*, p. 24. Translated: "Studies published before 1879 vacillated without exception between only two or three [language] groups, depending on whether the writer regarded the Kenuzi and Danagla as a single entity." The third language group Herzog talks about are the Nobiin.

5 Cf. WERNER, *Grammatik des Nobiin*, p. 15; BECHHAUS-GERST, *Sprachwandel durch Sprachkontakt am Beispiel des nubischen im Nilta*, p. 19; BENDER, "Nilo-Saharan," p. 45, and editions of the *Ethnologue* earlier than the 17th edition. The *Ethnologue* is a reference guide to all known languages of the world.

During my travels I have never heard 'Dongola' used as a language name by any speakers of the language. Dongola plainly is the name of the most important town in the Dongola reach with Old Dongola being the capital of former Old Nubia and modern day Dongola being the seat of the present governorate.

6 LEWIS ET AL, *Ethnologue*. Paul Lewis, p.c.: "This is the first edition of the *Ethnologue* where Dongolawi is named 'Andaandi'."

7 A common exclamation among Kenuzi when listening to Dongolawi is: "That sounds like Fadidja Nubian." Fadidja Nubian is the other Egyptian Nile Nubian language.

8 ARMBRUSTER, *Dongoliese Nubian: A Grammar*, based on data collected in the 1910s

9 MASSENBACH, *Wörterbuch des nubischen Kunuzi-Dialektes*; ABDEL-HAFIZ, *A Reference Grammar of Kunuz Nubian*. Abdel-Hafiz continues publishing topics relating to the Egyptian Nile-Nubian languages unfortunately mainly in journals with limited availability, cf. ABDEL-HAFIZ, "Nubian Relative Clauses." His most recent publication is ABDEL-HAFIZ, "Coordinate Constructions in Fadicca and English."

10 HASPELMATH, *Coordinating Constructions*, p. 4: "A coordinating construction consists of two or more coordinands."

11 In our case =*gon* occurring once in each of the two coordinands.

Besides conjunction and disjunction adversative coordination is one of the main types of coordination. Crystal defines **adversative** as follows:

In GRAMMAR and SEMANTICS, a form of construction which expresses an antithetical circumstance. Adversative meaning can be expressed in several grammatical ways (as 'adversatives'), such as through a CONJUNCTION (*but*), ADVERBIAL (*however, nevertheless, yet, in spite of that, on the other hand*), or PREPOSITION (*despite, except, apart from, notwithstanding*).¹²

95

Crystal's definition is restricted to the English language. Other scholars look at the notion of adversativity from a typological perspective and suggest more refined terms and concepts of adversativity.

Both, Malchukov and Haspelmath¹³ begin with a general definition describing adversative coordination simply as 'but'-coordination.

Haspelmath considers the term **concessive** and its conceptual proximity to adversative: "In English, [...] concessive clauses with 'although' are often roughly equivalent to 'but' coordinations."¹⁴ That corresponds with Malchukov's observation: "Many authors use the terms concessive and adversative interchangeably to refer to the function of denial of expectations,"¹⁵ adding later "that the adversative meaning is more general than the concessive."¹⁶

A paraphrase of adversativity is presented by Zeevat: "The content has been suggested to be false in the context."¹⁷ exemplified by German 'doch.' I.e. adversativity challenges a previous assumption, corresponding to Malchukov's 'concessive.' Further terms used to describe the concessive are "frustration"¹⁸ and "countering."¹⁹

In the following I use 'adversative' in a general meaning with 'concessive' in a restricted notion, as Malchukov above.

A further category is **contrast** denoting "The new content addresses the old topic with its polarity inverted."²⁰ Malchukov²¹ emphasises the many similarities and few differences between the two propositions which make up the contrast. The contrast itself is established between one or more of the differences.

12 CRYSTAL, *A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics*, p. 14.

13 MALCHUKOV, "Towards a Semantic Typology of Adversative and Contrast Marking," p. 179.

HASPELMATH, "Coordination," p. 2.

14 HASPELMATH, "Coordination," p. 28

15 MALCHUKOV, "Towards a Semantic Typology of Adversative and Contrast Marking," p. 179.

16 *Ibid.*, p. 180.

17 ZEEVAT, "Particles," p. 100.

18 LONGACRE, "Sentences as combination of clauses," p. 385.

19 LEVINSOHN, *Self-Instruction Materials on Narrative Discourse Analysis*, p. 91.

20 ZEEVAT, "Particles," p. 100.

21 MALCHUKOV, "Towards a Semantic Typology of Adversative and Contrast Marking," p. 183.

Sometimes the term ‘contrast’ is used in a wider sense similar to the adversative in its general definition.²² In order to distinguish between ‘contrast’ in its general and its specific meaning Malchukov also speaks of “semantic opposition”²³ and Levinsohn of “prototypical contrast.”²⁴

96

I use ‘contrast’ in its specific meaning. One way to test for specific ‘contrast’ in the English meta-language is to add ‘in contrast’ to the second coordinand.

Further categories of adversativity are

- ▶ ‘mirative’ dealing with new, unexpected, surprising, yet not necessarily unintentional information.²⁵ A good way for testing is to add the word ‘suddenly.’ It is related to the concessive and until recently not distinguished from it;
- ▶ ‘restrictive’ meaning “[...] the second conjunct refutes the inference that the event referred to in the first conjunct has been (completely and successfully) realized.”²⁶ For Longacre²⁷ ‘restrictive’ and ‘contrastive’ belong together, as indeed sometimes only the context makes a statement ‘restrictive’ or ‘contrastive’;
- ▶ ‘correction’ meaning “the content was denied in the common ground”²⁸; or defined as “not x, but y.”²⁹ Correction eliminates an assumption which usually is not made explicit.³⁰

As specific data regarding mirative, restrictive and correction are limited, in the following I do not include it. That takes me closer to Horn³¹ whose work on negation dates earlier than the other referenced works on adversativity and shows more limited differentiation, like regarding correction as part of the concessive. That leaves two kinds of adversativity I deal with:

- ▶ concessive³² / denial of expectation;
- ▶ contrastive³³ / semantic opposition.

22 Cf. BLAKEMORE, *Relevance and Linguistic Meaning*, p. 54: ‘... it [‘but’] encodes the information that there is some kind of contrast. The problem is that the nature of the contrastive relation seems to vary across contexts.’

23 MALCHUKOV, “Towards a Semantic Typology of Adversative and Contrast Marking,” p. 183.

24 LEVINSOHN, *Self-Instruction Materials on Narrative Discourse Analysis*, p. 92.

25 MALCHUKOV, “Towards a Semantic Typology of Adversative and Contrast Marking,” p. 187, based on DeLancy.

26 *Ibid.*, p. 180.

27 LONGACRE, “Sentences as combination of clauses,” p. 378.

28 ZEEVAT, “Particles,” p. 100.

29 MALCHUKOV, “Towards a Semantic Typology of Adversative and Contrast Marking,” p. 192.

30 HORN, *A Natural History of Negation*, p. 404.

31 *Ibid.*, pp. 404, 409.

32 As used by MALCHUKOV, “Towards a Semantic Typology of Adversative and Contrast Marking,” p. 179. I do not employ the term ‘adversative’ in order to avoid misunderstanding. An English example sentence is: ‘Although I like Beethoven, my daughter does not enjoy any classical music.’ (I.e. I had expected that my daughter would like at least some classical music.)

33 An English example sentence is: ‘While I like Beethoven, you like the Beatles.’

By looking at only two kinds of adversativity I have to rely less on context and intuition which varies across contexts.³⁴

In concessive and contrastive sentences there are two coordinands (coordinate clauses) which are coordinated by a marker³⁵ (in the English meta-language ‘but,’ ‘although,’ ‘however,’ ‘while’). With changed intonation English concessive and contrastive sentences can be uttered without a marker, too.

While not excluding, Zeevat and Malchukov do not specifically include the discourse level. Whereas with most proverb collections proverbs are written down in isolation they belong to an oral discourse which needs to be taken into consideration.

For adversativity in the sense of ‘adversative passive’ and ‘malefactivity’ which “expresses an event that happens to the detriment of the subject argument” I refer to Payne.³⁶

The adversative markers discussed in this paper will be ‘monosyndetic’ (occurring once) or ‘bisyndetic’ (occurring twice). If sub-/coordinators are omitted one speaks of ‘asyndetic’ coordination which is “especially [used] in order to achieve an economical or dramatic form of expression.”³⁷ As proverbs are economical, asyndetic coordination is to be expected wherever possible.

Another reason for the existence of asyndetic coordination is provided by Levinsohn, speaking of a ‘connective’ instead of a marker:

If two propositions are in a countering relation, many languages do not mark the relation between them by means of a connective unless other conditions are fulfilled.³⁸

In the above mentioned Nubian grammars³⁹ the term ‘adversative’ or any other related terms do not occur.

In Armbruster⁴⁰ a Dongolawi coordinator expressing ‘but, on the contrary’ is listed under the heading ‘The Infix Conjunction.’ Un-

34 Intuition in the related field of contrast and (non-)truth conditional meaning is discussed in BLAKEMORE, *Relevance and Linguistic Meaning*, p. 37.

35 In this paper ‘marker’ means an explicate coordinator and subordinator. HASPELMATH, “Coordination,” and others prefer the term ‘coordinator’ to ‘marker.’ However as its derivation ‘coordination’ includes constructions without a marker, I apply the term ‘coordinator’ when this paper branches out to adversative coordination without markers. – In the beginning ‘coordination’ includes subordination. The distinction between a coordinative and subordinate function in Dongolawi and Kenzi is developed step by step.

A general definition of coordination is found in CRYSTAL, *A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics*, p. 110: “A term in grammatical analysis to refer to the process or result of linking linguistic units which are usually of equivalent syntactic status [...]”

36 PAYNE, *Describing Morphosyntax*, p. 208. See also TSUBOI, “Malefactivity in Japanese.”

37 CRYSTAL, *A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics*, p. 450. For the definitions of these terms see also HASPELMATH, *Coordinating Constructions*.

38 LEVINSOHN, *Self-Instruction Materials on Non-Narrative Discourse Analysis*, p. 29.

39 ARMBRUSTER, *Dongolawi Nubian: A Grammar*; MASSENBACH, *Wörterbuch des nubischen Kunuzi-Dialektes*; and ABDEL-HAFIZ, *A Reference Grammar of Kunuz Nubian*.

40 ARMBRUSTER, *Dongolawi Nubian: A Grammar*, §§ 4484, 6093.

der the heading ‘The Single Positive Verb-Concretion’⁴¹ one finds a few clauses with adversative meaning without discussing their adversativity. In the chapter ‘Co-ordinate Sentences’⁴² there is no mentioning of any coordination I call adversative.

98

When looking at Kenzi grammatical structures representing German ‘obgleich’ (‘although’) Massenbach begins with the remark “Eigentümlicher Gebrauch.”⁴³ She leaves it with two example sentences and one grammatical comment.

Abdel-Hafiz talks about ‘concession’ as part of ‘Adverb Clauses.’⁴⁴ Just a little bit more detailed than Massenbach there are three example sentences and some short explanations.

In another publication Abdel-Hafiz looks at “Coordinate Constructions in Fadicca and English” with Fadicca or Fadidja being a Nile-Nubian language. A third of a page is dedicated to “adversative coordination”⁴⁵ introducing Fadidja *tan* as “coordinator” of “a concession subordinate clause.”⁴⁶ In one example sentence *tan* is interpreted as suffix, in another one as separate word. No other function of *tan* is introduced. The paper does not research whether there are Fadidja “concession subordinate clauses” without *tan*.

The same paper also talks about “contrastive coordination”⁴⁷ meaning disjunction and not including the propositional level.⁴⁸

Adversative and related coordinate constructions analyzed in this paper are taken from Dongolawi and Kenzi proverbial data collected from 2009 onwards. Currently the corpus consists of about 225 Dongolawi proverbs⁴⁹ and a similar number from Kenzi with *goon* and bisyndetic =*gon* occurring regularly.⁵⁰ In the following Dongolawi proverbs will be marked by DP and a running number; Kenzi proverbs by KP and a running number.

41 Ibid., §§ 5731–7.

42 Ibid., §§ 6237–44.

43 MASSENBACH, *Wörterbuch des nubischen Kunuzi-Dialektes*, p. 136 §21C7. Translated: ‘strange usage.’

44 ABDEL-HAFIZ, *A Reference Grammar of Kunuz Nubian*, p. 269.

45 ABDEL-HAFIZ, “Coordinate Constructions in Fadicca and English,” p. 6.

46 Cf. *ibid.*, I name the marker of a subordinate clause ‘subordinator.’

47 *Ibid.*, pp. 7, 8.

48 Adversativity is not included in Werner’s *Grammatik des Nobiiin*.

49 In this paper I do not distinguish between proverbs and wise sayings.

50 Except for a proverb collection by a Dongolawi from Xannaag village (Hāmid Khabir AlShaich, *و حکم و امثال نوبية من دنقلا*, about 125 proverbs) and another much smaller one from a Dongolawi from Magaasir Island (Taha A. Taha, “Proverbs in a threatened Language Variety in Africa”; about 10 proverbs) no published material was used. Some Nubians (the Dongolawi El-Shafie El-Guzuuli also from Xannaag village, the Fadidja Maher Habboob and the Kenzi Mekki Muhammad from Maharaqa village) presented their own handwritten collections of proverbs to me. All these proverbs were checked and discussed with Dongolawi and Kenzi mother tongue speakers especially in order to discover their meanings and write them down according to orthographical rules (based on EL-GUZUULI & JAEGER, “Aspects of Dongolawi Roots and Affixes” and JAEGER & HISSEIN “Aspects of Kenzi-Dongolawi Phonology Related to Orthography”) leading the co-investigators to remember further proverbs.

Finnegan observes some difference between proverbs and day-to-day speech:

[...] it is clear that *some* sort of heightened speech, in one form or another, is commonly used in proverbs: and that this serves to set them apart from ordinary speech.⁵¹

In our case where Dongolawi and Kenzi exhibit a strong Arabic influence, proverbs being more conservative may enable us to recognize certain Nubian grammatical features more clearly. Therefore keeping in mind that proverbs

- ▶ represent non-narrative text;
- ▶ employ a restricted amount of grammar only;
- ▶ have a tendency to be more conservative linguistically,

proverbs still provide a beneficial starting point of investigation into linguistic analyses and especially into adversativity. Proverbs contradict, challenge, convince, correct, and eliminate existing assumptions. Proverbs with ‘but’ coordinator point to a wider argumentative discourse as described by Reagan when discussing Shona proverbs: “The free use of *tsumo* [Shona proverbs] is the accepted way of winning an argument.”⁵²

Therefore it is expected to encounter adversative coordinating among proverbs at least as frequently as among average narrative texts.

The next section reviews insights into adversativity from non-English/non-Nubian languages of different language phyla. Afterwards I deal with clauses coordinated by *goon* and bisyndetic =*gon*, followed by clauses without any marker, i.e. ‘juxtaposed clauses’ and a summary. That allows some insight into the use and non-use of these two coordinators. Where available, results from proverbial data are compared with narrative texts.⁵³

2. Adversative in non-Nubian languages

In the Nile-Nubian languages any reference related to adversativity is sparse, as König laments in general:

Any attempt to give a cross-linguistic characterization of concessive relations and the way they are expressed in the world’s languages is constrained by the fact that we do not have enough relevant information from a representative sample of languages. ... Conces-

51 FINNEGAN, *Oral Literature in Africa*, p. 403.

52 REAGAN, *Non-Western Educational Traditions*, p. 64.

53 Taken from MASSENBACH, *Nubische Texte im Dialekt der Kunūzi und der Dongolawi*.

sive relations have always aroused less interest than conditional or causal ones.⁵⁴

100

Fortunately since 1988 research into adversative and related coordination in languages besides English has been increased and published.⁵⁵

While working on non-Indo-European languages the terminology for adversative functions has been refined from formerly two (concessive and contrastive) to the ones described in the preceding section.

Kibrik worked on the Upper Kuskokwim Athabaskan language in interior Alaska, belonging to the Eyak-Athabaskan language phylum. Its main adversative marker *'edinh*⁵⁶ “tends to appear at the clause boundary, but in terms of intonation it may belong either to the first [placed at the end] or to the second clause [placed at the beginning].”⁵⁷

Malchukov starts with Russian which has different markers for denial-of-expectation and semantic opposition, while semantic opposition and additive have the same marker, using Malchukov's terminology. Further language material is presented from Altaic-Tungusic languages from Eastern Russia:

- ▶ Manchu has different markers for the (non-adversative) additive and adversative (concessive), with contrast unmarked.
- ▶ Even uses the same marker for the whole spectrum of additive, contrastive and adversative.

The opposite of Even is Koryak (far Eastern Russia), a Chukotko-Kamchatkan/Paleosiberian language where different markers are used for the additive (non-adversative), the contrastive and the adversative.

Longacre worked on Ibaloi (Philippines), belonging to the Austronesian language phylum,⁵⁸ and on Wojokeso⁵⁹ (alternatively Safeyoka, Papua New Guinea) belonging to the Trans-New Guinean language phylum. Regarding Ibaloi he writes:

54 KÖNIG, “Concessive connectives and concessive sentences,” p. 145.

55 KIBRIK, “Coordination in Upper Kuskokwim Athabaskan”; MALCHUKOV, “Towards a Semantic Typology of Adversative and Contrast Marking”; HASPELMATH, “Coordination”; LONGACRE, “Sentences as Combination of Clauses”; LEVINSOHN, *Self-Instruction Materials on Narrative Discourse Analysis*; and *Ibid.*, *Self-Instruction Materials on Non-Narrative Discourse Analysis*. While LONGACRE, “Sentences as Combination of Clauses” does not speak explicitly about adversative, he deals “with underlying but relations. [...] the notion of contrast requires paired lexical oppositions” (p. 378).

56 KIBRIK, “Coordination in Upper Kuskokwim Athabaskan” glosses it as ‘but.’

57 *Ibid.*, p. 549.

58 Language examples: LONGACRE, “Sentences as combination of clauses,” pp. 390, 392.

59 Language examples: *Ibid.*, p. 409.

The most characteristic conjunction in the Ibaloi antithetical sentence is *nem* 'but.' A further conjunction *jeý* 'while, but' is also used here, and there is occasional absence of conjunction (juxtaposition).⁶⁰

The coordinator *nem* occurs at the beginning of the second coordinate clause. In the example sentences it marks contrast yet not concessive.

101

Levinsohn illustrates the 'countering connective'⁶¹ through language examples from the Niger-Congo language phylum. He exemplifies adversativity on discourse level by the marker *ka* from Lobala (Democratic Republic of Congo).⁶² *ka* is a marker of 'counter evidence' indicating "a backward countering relation between two utterances,"⁶³ occurring in narrative discourse:

ka always occurs in sentence-initial position. It never occurs midsentence between two clauses. As a result it never functions as a straight contrast marker. [...] *ka* commonly introduces narrator comment into the flow of action.⁶⁴

Its effect is that the hearer is constrained "to access two optimally relevant assumptions that counter each other."⁶⁵

Some of the above references describe where within the same function adversative markers are employed and where not. I.e. some adversative sentences are juxtaposed, others not, depending on the context. As Levinsohn observed in Kalinga (Philippines, Austronesian language phylum), the marker *yakon* "but [...]" is not used in hortatory texts" and "in narratives [...] is used only when the countering proposition is important or relevant to what follows."⁶⁶

Even more complex rules of adversative marking are found in Bariai (Papua New Guinea, Austronesian language phylum) and Dungra Bhil (India, Indo-European language phylum).⁶⁷

Contrastive coordination does not necessarily occur through special markers. It also employs syntactic devices. In Mono (Dem-

60 Ibid., p. 390.

61 In LEVINSOHN, *Self-Instruction Materials on Narrative Discourse Analysis*. His 'countering connective' corresponds to the concessive.

62 Ibid., p. 92, based on data from MORGAN, "Semantic Constraints on Relevance in Lobala Discourse."

63 MORGAN, "Semantic Constraints on Relevance in Lobala Discourse," pp. 125, 137.

64 Ibid., p. 138.

65 Ibid., p. 125.

66 Both quotes from LEVINSOHN, *Self-Instruction Materials on Non-Narrative Discourse Analysis*, p. 30.

67 Both in *ibid.*, 31.

ocratic Republic of Congo) the ‘prototypical contrast’ is achieved through left-dislocation.⁶⁸

3. Clauses coordinated with *goon*

102

Two markers used for coordinating or subordinating Dongolawi and Kenzi proverbs consisting of at least two propositions are *goon* and *=gon*. Non-proverbial Dongolawi sentences with *goon* are⁶⁹:

duulen goon, meedigi unyurmun. – Although he is old, he knows nothing.

nog buun goon, elkori. – While walking, I found it.

In Kenzi one hears:

oddin goon, jellir juusu. – Although he was ill, he went to work.

boodbuun goon, digirsu. – While running, he fell down.

goon ends the first of two coordinated clauses. The same marker is used for adversative and non-adversative coordination. In the first example *goon* denotes concessive (rendered as ‘although’), in the second non-adversative temporal simultaneity (rendered as ‘while’).

While Massenbach’s and Abdel-Hafiz’s Kenzi grammars gloss *goon* as one morpheme, Armbruster⁷⁰ interprets it as two suffixes: The object marker⁷¹ followed by *-on*. Armbruster writes *gi+on* as *gon* with short vowel. In Dongolawi conversation I hear both, long⁷² and short vowel. Altogether the vowel-length is difficult to determine as in spoken Dongolawi the final *on* (if long vowel) or final *n* (if short vowel) is dropped frequently. In Kenzi when pronounced properly, there is always a long vowel however the final *on* is dropped even more regularly than in Dongolawi leading Abdel-Hafiz to write *go*.⁷³ As Kenzi always has long vowel and Dongolawi varies between long and short vowel I standardize and write *goon* in both.

68 LEVINSOHN, *Self-Instruction Materials on Narrative Discourse Analysis*, p. 92. His ‘prototypical contrast’ corresponds to the contrastive.

69 Dongolawi example sentences are provided by El-Shafie El-Guzuuli, p.c., also the following example sentences marked by DS. Kenzi example sentences from Abdel-Hafiz, p.c.

70 ARMBRUSTER, *Dongolese Nubian: A Grammar*, §5731, and *ibid.*, *Dongolese Nubian: A Lexicon*, p. 162.

71 While otherwise I interpret *=gi* as accusative suffix when discussing Armbruster’s writings I employ his terminology (e.g. object). – Armbruster divides other suffixes beginning with *gi* similarly, e.g., for him *=ged* also begins with an object marker followed by *-ed*.

72 As among speakers from Khannaag.

73 ABDEL-HAFIZ, *A Reference Grammar of Kunuz Nubian*, pp. 267, 269.

In the following I distinguish the polarity of coordinated clauses; i.e. whether a proposition is in the affirmative or in the negative; ‘affirmative’ defined as ‘type of sentence or verb which has no marker of negation’⁷⁴ or ‘absence of negation’⁷⁵ and ‘negative’ being the opposite, resulting in at least four cases of polarity. I am aware that that distinction may not be sufficient:

Perhaps we simply need better criteria for distinguishing denials of truth from assertions of falsity.⁷⁶

Additionally I distinguish whether the subject remains or changes.

3.1 Affirmative–affirmative propositional order with same subject⁷⁷

ΓΆΛΛΟΝ ΑΤΤΙΡ ΒΟΪΝ ΓΟΝ, ΕΣΣΙΝ ΕΡΓΕΔ ΔΙΝ. DP1
gaalon attir buun goon, essin eerked diin.

<i>gaalo=n</i>	<i>attir</i>	<i>buu-n</i>	<i>goon</i>
jar=GEN	near	STAT-2SG	SUB
<i>essi=n</i>	<i>eer=ged</i>	<i>dii-n</i>	
water=GEN	desire=INS	die-2SG	

“**Although** you are near the [water] jar, you die from thirst.”

ΟΥΡΚΕΔ ΒΟΪΝ ΓΟΝ, ΟΥΚΟΥΔΚΕΔ ΙΜΒΕΛΙΝ. DP2
urked buun goon, usudked imbelin.

<i>ur=ged</i>	<i>buu-n</i>	<i>goon</i>
head=INS	STAT-3SG	SUB
<i>usud=ged</i>	<i>imbel-in</i>	
anus=INS	stand.up-3SG	

“**Although** he rests with the head, he stands up with the anus.”

74 CRYSTAL, *A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics*, p. 15.

75 HORN, *A Natural History of Negation*, p. 32. While this definition is very short on p. 30 he goes into more detail about contrasting affirmative and negative: “[...] the closest equivalent of the negative proposition within this system is predicate denial, in which a predicate [...] is denied of a subject s.”

76 Ibid., p. 399. An alternative way would have been to distinguish between adversative coordinated clauses occurring simultaneously and occurring one after the other. However a quick run-through showed that results would show less consistency.

77 There are no example sentences where the subject is stated explicitly. Abbreviations used in the analysis of the proverbs are based on the Leipzig glossing rules: 1, 2, 3 – 1st, 2nd, 3rd person; ACC – accusative; ALL2 – allative with =gir; CAUS – causative; COND – conditional; CONJ – conjunction; COORD – coordinator; COP – copula; DEF – definite; DUR – durative; FUT – future; GEN – genitive; IMP – imperative; INS – instrumental; INT – intensifier; LOC – locative; NEG – negation; NEUT – marker of the so-called present tense; NR – nominalizer; PASS – passive; PCPT – participle; POSS – possessive; PRT1 – preterite with -ko(o); PRT2 – preterite with -s; PL – plural; PST – with participles, the so-called past tense; REPT – repetitive; SG – singular; STAT – stative; SUB – subordinator; SUBJ – subject.

ΚΡ1 ΤΙΝΓΆΡΡΟ ΤΈΒΙΝ ΓΌΝ, ΜΆΛΤΙΡΝΆ ΖΆΒΆΡΚΕΔ Ά ΙΣΣΙΓΙ.
tingaarro teebin goon, maltirna habarked aa issigi.

tingaar=ro teeb-in goon
 west=LOC stop-3SG SUB

malti=ro=na habar=ged aag issig-i
 east=LOC=GEN news=INS DUR ask-3SG

“**Although** he stops at the west [bank], he asks for the news of the east [bank].”

ΚΡ2 *eddigi aa nallan goon, tenna ettirgi aa tigr.*
 “**Although** they see the hyena, they trace its footprint.”

3.2 Affirmative-negative propositional order with different subject

DS4⁷⁸ ΒΈΡΤΙ ΔΙΔΙ ΚΌΛ ΓΌΝ, ΤΕΝΝ ΒΙΤΆΝΙ ΚΆΛΓΙ ΕΛΜΟΥΝΆΝ.
berti diji kool goon, tenn bitaani kalgi elmunan.

berti diji=i kool goon
 goat five=PL having SUB
tenn bitaan=i kal=gi el-mun-an
 3SG.POSS child=PL food=ACC find-NEG-3PL

“**Although** he owns five goats, his children do **not** find enough to eat.”

ΚΡ4 ΤΈΡ ΒΈΡΒΟΥΝ ΓΌΝ, ΤΕΝΝΆ Ι ΈΣΣΙΓΙ Ά ΝΆΚΚΙΜΝΟΥ
ter beerbuun goon, tenna ii essigi aa nakkimnu.

ter beer-buu-n goon
 3SG.SUBJ satisfied-STAT-3SG SUB
tenna ii essi=gi aag nakki-munu
 3SG.POSS hand water=ACC DUR drip-NEG

“**Although** he is satisfied, his hand does **not** drip water.”

3.3 Negative-affirmative propositional order with same subject

DP5 ΖΆΝΟΥΓΙ ΔΆΝΜΕΝ ΓΌΝ, ΚΟΔΙΡΓΙ ΚΟΚΚΙΝ.
hanugi jaanmen goon, kojirki kokkin.

hanu=gi jaan-men goon
 donkey=ACC buy-NEG SUB

78 While here *goon* is optional it is necessary in the following Kenzi proverb.

kojir=gi *kokki-n*
 peg=ACC knock-3SG
 “Although he has **not** bought a donkey, he hammers a peg.”⁷⁹

ΚΑΜΓΙ ΔΑΝ ΜΕΝΙΝ ΓΟΝ, ΙΡΡΙΓΙ Δ ΔΑΝCOY. KP5
*kamgi jaan*⁸⁰ *meenin goon*, *irrigi aa jaansu*.

kam=gi *jaan* *meen-in* *goon*
 camel=ACC buy be.not-3SG SUB
irri=gi *aag* *jaan-s-u*
 rope=ACC DUR buy-PRT2-3SG
 “Although he had **not** bought a camel, he bought a bridle.”

hanugi egir meenin goon, *ossigi aa walagi*. KP6
 “Although he does **not** ride the donkey, he shakes the leg.”

tii jaan meenin goon, *irrigi aa kaashra*. KP7
 “Although they do **not** buy a cow, they search for the rope.”

ϞΙΒΙΛΛΕ ΟΥΩΕ ΜΕΝΙΝ ΓΟΝ, ΑΡΙC ΔΑΔΙΝ ΑΔΕΜΙΓΙ ΔΓ ΟΓΙΔΡΙΝ. MS:K2⁸¹
shibille uwemeningon, *aris dajin ademig agogjirin*.

shibille *uuwe* *meen-in* *goon*
 kite call be.not-3SG SUB
ariis *daaji-n* *adem=i=gi* *aag*
 groom roam-3SG man=PL=ACC DUR
ogij-r-in
 invite-NEUT-3SG
 “Although he did **not** call the kite, he roamed around inviting the men.”

With some of the above proverbs the subject remains (or is related), the verbs and possible accusatives are related to each other with at least one item being contrasted using the proverbial stylistic features of parallelism through synonyms and antonyms. That speaks in favour of contrastive coordination. In my rendering I have decided for concessive coordination, with the assumption being challenged not made explicit, as that is nearer my co-investigators’ ren-

79 A wooden (sometimes metal) peg is hammered into the ground in order to tether the donkey.

80 One Kenzi speaker said *jaanin*, conjugating the first verb, too. However, I stick with the standard form.

81 Taken from a narrative text in MASSENBACH, *Nubische Texte im Dialekt der Kunūzi und der Dongolawi*, p. 22. For the example sentences I use Massenbach’s orthography. In the current orthography the second word would be *uuwe meenin goon*.

dering. In all the above Dongolawi proverbs *goon* is not optional, it is required.⁸²

In the Kenzi proverbs *goon* occurs negated as *meenin goon*⁸³ in the same position as *goon* (i.e. at the end of the first clause) and with the preceding verb in the neutral tense like in a serial verb construction where only the last verb is inflected. Therefore *meenin* is interpreted as the last verb of a serial verb construction with *meen* being a kind of verb of negation meaning ‘not to be’ or ‘not to happen now’ as in:

106

KP8 KALLĒ ENNA Ī MĒNELGI Ā BĒPKIΔMOYNOY.
kallee enna ii meenelgi aa beerkidmunu.

kallee *enna* *ii* *meen-el=gi*
 droppings 2SG.POSS hand be.NOT-PCPT.PST=ACC

aag beer-kiddi-munu
 DUR be.satisfied-CAUS-NEG.3SG

“He is **not** satisfied by the droppings of your hand.”

Inflection of tense-aspect is left to the verb in the second clause.

I conclude that in constructions with *goon*

- ▶ *goon* is a subordinator with the first clause subordinated to the second main clause;
- ▶ *goon* is always placed at the end of the subordinate clause (i.e. postpositive), following its verb.

In the proverbs *-in* and *-n* before *goon* represent 2/3SG or 3PL. In spoken Dongolawi and even more in Kenzi the final personal suffix consonant *n* is dropped clause-finally, however pronounced before *goon*. Therefore a possible interpretation of *-in* and *-n* would be as genitive marker, especially as other Dongolawi subordinators as *bokkon* and *illar* are preceded by the genitive clitic =*n*. There are two reasons against that interpretation:

- ▶ Dongolawi and Kenzi roots ending in a consonant and followed by a genitive marker are frequently contracted which is not observed before *goon*.
- ▶ The Kenzi genitive clitic before a following consonant is =*na*. However in both, Dongolawi and Kenzi, there is only *n* before *goon*, **na* is not possible.

82 El-Shafie El-Guzuuli, p.c.

83 MASSENBACH, *Wörterbuch des nubischen Kunuzi-Dialektes*, p. 136 §21C7, writes it as *mēnkin-gon*. However I have never heard the *k* sound. Abdel-Hafiz does not discuss it at all. On the strength of Old Nubian data like *ir* ‘to give birth’ and *mir* ‘to be infertile’ *meen* could be analyzed as a negation prefix *m* plus the verb *en* ‘to be.’

Regarding Fadidja Abdel-Hafiz offers an alternative explanation which could also be applied to Kenzi:

In Fadicca subordination, the clitic (-*n*) introducing the subordinate clause is attached to the verb at the end of the clause. The clitic is often preceded or followed by clause markers.⁸⁴

107

However, in order to standardize Kenzi with Dongolawi where the verb final -*n* is uttered more frequently I do not follow Abdel-Hafiz's interpretation.

In a non-literal translation the literal adversative rendering of Kenzi *meenin goon* as 'although ... not' is rendered as temporal sequential / consecutive 'before' or sometimes as 'without' as my Kenzi co-investigators did, i.e.:

"Before he buys a camel, he bought a bridle." / KP5
 "Without buying a camel, he bought a bridle."

"Before he rides the donkey, he shakes the legs." KP6

"Before they buy a cow, they search for the rope." KP7

The Kenzi co-investigators prefer the temporal sequential rendering to the adversative one when translating a proverb into Arabic. However, in sentence MS:K2 which has the same order 'although ... not' cannot be replaced by 'before' as that would change the meaning.

The Kenzi construction *meenin goon* comes closest to Dongolawi *goon* with preceding negation suffix -*men*⁸⁵ as in DP5. However, in rendering it behaves like MS:K2 with rendering -*men goon* as 'before' being excluded.⁸⁶

goon is **rendered temporally** in the following proverbs⁸⁷:

3.4 Affirmative-affirmative propositional order with same subject

ΟΥΣΚΙΔ ΟΓΙΡΡΟ Δ ΤΔ, ΤΟΥΓΟΥΡΓΙΡΙΝ ΓΟΝ, Δ ΝΟΓΙ. KP9
uskid ogirro aa taa, tugurgirin goon, aa nogi.

84 ABDEL-HAFIZ, "Coordinate Constructions in Fadicca and English," p. 22. If one changes the analysis of the last of the four example sentences (i.e. 56d) where -*n* as subordinator follows the noun instead of the verb that theory makes sense. It would be possible to make -*n* follow the verb, too.

85 See ARMBRUSTER, *Dongolese Nubian: A Grammar*, §5743.

86 El-Shafie El-Guzuuli, p.c.

87 For a discussion in Kenzi grammars see MASSENBACH, *Wörterbuch des nubischen Kunuzi-Dialektes*, p. 169, and ABDEL-HAFIZ, *A Reference Grammar of Kunuz Nubian*, p. 267.

uskid ogir=ro aag taar-Ø
 birth lap=LOC DUR come-3SG
 tugur=gir-in goon aag nog-i
 shroud=ALL2-COP.3SG SUB DUR go-3SG
 “The birth comes in the [mother’s] lap, **while** he is in a shroud, he goes.”

108

3.5 Affirmative-affirmative propositional order with different subject

KP10 ΤῸΥΒΑΡ ΕΝ ΓῸΝ, ΤῸΥΒΟCΡΟΥ.
tuubar en goon, tuubosru.

tuub-ar e-n goon tuub-os-r-u
 wade-NR be-3SG SUB wade-DEF-NEUT-1/2PL
 “**While** it is the ‘wading’ / *tuubar* season, you (pl.) wade indeed.”

KP11 *jugrin goon, farte!*
 “**While** it is hot, take [it] out!”

MS:K4⁸⁸ ΒΟΥΡΩΙ ἄΓΡΑΝ ΓῸΝ, ἄΓ ΤῸΔΟΥΝ.
burwi āgranon, atōjun.

buru-i aag-r-an goon aag too-ij-un
 girl-PL sit-NEUT-3PL SUB DUR enter-INT-3SG
 “**While** the girls are sitting, he enters (completely).”

KP12 consists of a conditional imperative with four coordinands where the first proposition has affirmative-affirmative, the second one affirmative-negative propositional order while the subject remains unchanged:

KP11 ἄΓΙΝ ΓΟ ΟΙΡΙΔΚΙΝ, ΤἘΒΙΝ ΓῸΝ ΟΙΡΙΔΜΙΝΟΥ!
aagin goon wirijkin, teebin goon wirijminu!

aag-in goon wirij-ki-n
 stay-2SG SUB naked-COND-2SG
 teeb-in goon wirij-minu
 stop-2SG SUB naked-NEG.IMP
 “If **while** sitting you are naked, **while** standing do not be naked!”

I interpret both *goon* as non-adversative temporal simultaneous.

88 MASSENBAACH, *Nubische Texte im Dialekt der Kunūzi und der Dongolawi*, p. 31. In the current orthography the second word is *aagran goon*.

Dongolawi proverbs with *goon* and non-adversative function have not been attested that far. That goes along that *goon* as temporal marker is missing in Armbruster. However there is one Dongolawi sentence from a narrative text with negative-affirmative propositional order with same subject:

109

ἈΜΒᾶΒ ΒΕΔΡΙΡ ΕΛΓΟΝ ἘΝΓΙ ΕΔΜΕΝ ΓΟΟΝ ΝΟΥΓΔΙΓΙ ἄΓ Τᾶδερεῖκον. MS:D1⁸⁹
ambāb bédrir elgon ēngi edmengon nugdigi atājerēkōn.
ambaab bedrir elgon
 1SG.POSS.father early not.yet
een=gi ed-men
 wife=ACC marry-NEG
goon nugd-i=gi aag taajere-ko-n
 SUB slave-PL=ACC DUR trade-PRT1-3SG
 “My father, earlier, **while** he had not yet married the woman, he traded with slaves.”

In conclusion, *goon* marks concessive and temporal coordination however it is not always necessary. In Kenzi proverbs *goon* occurs much more frequently (12 times) than in Dongolawi ones (3 times only). In Kenzi proverbs concessive and temporal coordination is nearly always expressed by *goon*, in Dongolawi it is mixed. The difference cannot depend on the kind of co-investigator as both in Dongolawi and in Kenzi I worked with a broad variety of different speakers. Could it be that either Kenzi is more explicit or that there are cases where Kenzi employs and Dongolawi does not employ *goon*?

A final note regarding orthography: While *goon* cannot be separated from the preceding verb and in uttering is always connected to the verb-final consonant *n* I interpret *goon* as an orthographic word as readability after a verb and its verbal suffixes without word boundary would become difficult.

4. Clauses coordinated with bisyndetic =*gon*

The clitic =*gon* and its allomorphs =*kon* and =*ton* also cover adversative and non-adversative functions. Like *goon* Armbruster considers =*gon* as a complex morpheme composed of the object marker =*gi* plus the suffix *-on*.⁹⁰

I write =*gon* and its variants with short vowel, both in Dongolawi and in Kenzi. While Massenbach writes it with a long vowel: *gôn*,

89 Ibid., p. 100. In the current orthography the fifth word is *edmen goon*.

90 ARMBRUSTER, *Dongolese Nubian: A Grammar*, §§ 4389, 4398, and ARMBRUSTER, *Dongolese Nubian: A Lexicon*, p. 161.

kôn, similar as Ahmed Sokarno Abdel-Hafiz,⁹¹ I hear the short vowel in Kenzi, too.

=*gon* can be monosyndetic and bisyndetic. Bisyndetic =*gon* occurs on phrase (i.e. connected to two conjoined phrases) and clause level (i.e. connected to conjoined clauses). The typical use of bisyndetic =*gon* on phrase level is shown in the following proverb:

110

DP13 ΤΟΡΒΑΛ ΚΟΥΒΝ ΓᾶΡΓΟΝ ΤᾶΒΙΑ ΤῸΥΡΚΟΝ ΜΕΝΙΛΛΟ ΝῘΩΕΜΟΥΝΟΥ.

torbal kubn gaargon tabid tuurkon menillo neewemunu.

<i>torbal</i>	<i>kub=n</i>	<i>gaar=gon</i>	
farmer	boat=GEN	side=CONJ	
<i>tabid</i>	<i>tuur=gon</i>	<i>menillo</i>	<i>neewe-munun</i>
smith	inside=CONJ	except	rest-NEG.3SG

“The farmer does not rest except [at] the side of the boat **and** inside [the house of] the smith.”

=*gon* joins similar noun phrases (in this case the two locations where a farmer finds rest) within a clause together. As =*gon* is attached to phrases and not to words I interpret it as a clitic.

In the following I look at bisyndetic =*gon* connecting clauses, not phrases. Bisyndetic =*gon* on clause level is missing in Armbruster’s, Massenbach’s and Ahmed Sokarno Abdel-Hafiz’s grammars.

4.1 Affirmative-affirmative propositional order with different subject

DP14 ΟΥΚΕΛΝΔΙΓΟΝ ΤῸΔΙΡ ΓᾶΡΙΝ, ΤῸΝΔΙΓΟΝ ΒΕΡΡΟ ΓᾶΡΙΝ.
uskelndigon toodir gaarin, toondigon berro gaarin.

<i>uski-el-ndi=gon</i>		<i>tood=ir</i>
give.birth-PCPT.PST-POSS=COORD		child=LOC
<i>gaar-in</i>		
embrace-3SG		
<i>tood-ndi=gon</i>	<i>ber=ro</i>	<i>gaar-in</i>
child-POSS=COORD	wood=LOC	embrace-3SG

“**While** the one who gave birth embraces the child, he [the child] embraces the wood belonging to the child.”

DP15 *deski tabbelgon densir anin, katregi tabbelgon katre anin.*
“**While** the one who touched the fat, becomes full of goodness; the one who touched the wall, he becomes a wall.”

91 MASSENBACH, *Wörterbuch des nubischen Kunuzi-Dialektes*, p. 169, and ABDEL-HAFIZ, *A Reference Grammar of Kunuz Nubian*, p. 265. The latter uses a slightly different notation: *go:n*, *ko:n*.

jağadti, kashi weerkon inded widin, intille weerkon kiddigirin. DP16
 “The weak [person], **while** one stalk swims and carries [him], one needle makes [him] drown.”

ΜĒΩΓΟΝ ἄ ΟΥΚΙ, ΤΕΚΚΟΝ ἄ Τᾶδι. KP14
meewgon aa uski, tekkon aa taaji.

<i>meew=gon</i>	<i>aag</i>	<i>uski-Ø</i>
pregnant=COORD	DUR	give.birth-3SG
<i>ter=gon</i>	<i>aag</i>	<i>taaj-i</i>
3SG.SUBJ=COORD	DUR	cry-3SG

“**While** the pregnant woman gives birth, he [her husband] cries.”

ingon bahti kinyima, weeri bahtigon kulugi aa toog. KP15
 “**While** this one is without good luck, others [who have] good luck break the stone.”

Τῶλ ΔὲΥΛΓΟΝ ΜΟΖΑΜΜΕΔ ὤᾶΤΙΡ ΕΛ ΕΜῖΝ, ΚΙΝΝΑΓΟΝ ΜΟΖΑΜΜΕΔ MS:K6⁹²
 ὤᾶΤΙΡ ΕΤ ΤᾶϊϊΒ.
Tōd dūlgon Mohammed Shātir el Emīn, kinnagon Mohammed Shātir et Tayyib.

<i>tood duul=gon</i>	[...]	<i>kinna=gon</i>
son big=COORD		small=COORD

“The older son (was named) Mohammed Shātir el Emīn, **and** the younger one Mohammed Shātir et Tayyib.”

In the above proverbs and the narrative sentence =*gon* is exclusively attached to an explicit subject noun / noun phrase with animate referent. The two events occur simultaneously. Both =*gon* are rendered by a single English word, ‘while.’⁹³

Frequently in the above proverbs the contrastive function is realized through antithetical lexical items which are typical for proverbs. In DP14 (‘parent’ – ‘child’) the antithetical lexical items are explicit, in KP14 (‘pregnant woman’ – ‘non-pregnant relative’) implicit.

There is one Kenzi sentence where as the subject is implicit both =*gon* are attached to the object with the object showing no accusative marker as Massenbach observes: “Sehr oft fehlt es (*gi*) hinter *gōn*.”⁹⁴

92 MASSENBACH, *Nubische Texte im Dialekt der Kunūzi und der Dongolawi*, p. 61.

93 Of course, ‘while’ is also a temporal clause marker. However, here =*gon* is used adversatively. A similar case from Upper Kuskokwim Athabaskan is discussed in KIBRIK, “Coordination in Upper Kuskokwim Athabaskan,” p. 550.

94 MASSENBACH, *Wörterbuch des nubischen Kunuzi-Dialektes*, p. 116. Translated: ‘Most times *gi* after *gon* is missing.’

4.2 Affirmative-negative propositional order with same subject

MS:K6⁹⁵ ZŌLI MALLĒGON ŌYŌEΔ TĀCOYM, ŪBILĒGON ŌYŌEKŌMNOYN.
Zōli malle=gon ūwedtāsum, shibille=gon ūwekōmnun.

112 *zooli* *malle=gon* *uuwe-ed* *taa-s-um*
 people all=coord call-CPL come-PRT2-3SG
shibille=gon *uuwe-koo-mnun*
 kite=COORD call-PRT1-NEG.3SG
 “He invited everybody, (only) the kite he did not invite.”

In all the above proverbs and narrative sentences bisyndetic =gon expresses the contrastive (except narrative sentence MS:K1 where it is restrictive). There is one Dongolawi proverb where the two clauses connected by bisyndetic =gon are additive:

DP17 ΔPΓON BI ΔΔEM ΔNΔOY, ŌELLIGON ΔΓPI BI ŌYKKIPAN.
argon bi adem andu, welligon argi bi uukiran.

ar=gon *bi* *adem* *an-d-u*
 1PL=COORD FUT man become-NEUT-1PL
wel-li=gon *ar=gi* *bi* *uukki-r-an*
 dog-PL=COORD 1PL=ACC FUT bark-NEUT-3PL
 “We will become a [rich, important] man, and the dogs will bark at us.”

That indicates that like *goon* bisyndetic =gon only in specific contexts gains a contrastive meaning. Both markers are not adversative markers by themselves. However it also demonstrates that in contrast to *goon*, =gon is a coordinator: While the subordinate clause with *goon* does not carry tense and aspect, both clauses are inflected in bisyndetic =gon constructions.

5. Juxtaposed clauses

As proverbs aim to be short and precise, economical and dramatic, proverbs with asyndetic coordination are presumed. I begin by looking at juxtaposed clauses which have adversative character similar to coordinated clauses with *goon*, e.g. they present a **concessive**, yet without a marker. For the purpose of rendering denial-of-expectation I add ‘but’:

95 MASSENBAACH, *Nubische Texte im Dialekt der Kunūzi und der Dongolawi*, p. 22. When El-Shafie El-Guzuuli, p.c., rendered MS:K1 in Dongolawi it was unmarked.

5.1 Affirmative–affirmative propositional order with different subject

Κᾶ ΤΕΝΔΙ ΩἶΦϕΙΝ, ΙΡΙΝΔΙΓΙ ΝῶΥΡΚΙΡΙΝ. DP18
kaa tendi wanyinyin, irindigi nuurkirin.

<i>kaa</i>	<i>tendi</i>	<i>wanyinyi-n</i>	113
house	3SG.POSS	be.without.roof-3SG	
<i>iri-ndi=gi</i>		<i>nuur-kir-in</i>	
people-POSS=ACC		roof-CAUS-3SG	

“His house is without a roof, [but] he roofs the [other] people’s [houses].”

δῶΓΕΛ ἄΓΙΝ, ΔΟΥΚΚΕΛΓΙ ΕΔΚΟΡΑΝ. DP19
joog-el aag-in, dukkelgi edkoran.

<i>joog-el</i>	<i>aag-in</i>	
grind-PCPT.PST	stay-3SG	
<i>dukki-el=gi</i>		<i>ed-ko-r-an</i>
bake-PCPT.PST=ACC		marry-PRT1 ⁹⁶ -NEUT-3PL

“She who ground [the flour], stays [unmarried]; [but] they married the one who baked [the bread from the flour].”

Note that in DP19 even without concessive *goon* only the second clause carries the tense marker.

5.2 Affirmative–negative propositional order with same subject

ΤΕΝΝ Κᾶδ ΒῶΔΙΝ, ΓΟΥΤᾶΡΚΙ ΔΟΥΚΚΙΜΟΥΝ. DP20
tenn kaj boodin, gutaarki dukkimun.

<i>tenn</i>	<i>kaj</i>	<i>bood-in</i>
3SG.POSS	horse	run-3SG
<i>gutaar=gi</i>		<i>dukki-mun</i>
sand.storm=ACC		extract-NEG

“His horse runs, [but] it does **not** make a sand storm.”

fooja kalin, kuru anmun. DP21
 “The sparrow eats [a lot], [but] it does **not** become a turtle dove.”

96 I gloss *-ko* and *-r* as separate morphemes, cf. ARMBRUSTER, *Dongolese Nubian: A Grammar*, §2975ff: “The stem of the perfect is formed by adding *-ko-* to the simple stem. I realize that alternatively both morphemes could be glossed as one suffix. As this paper deals with the adversative I leave the decision regarding glossing of tense-aspect markers to further research.”

- DP22 *tekki shegin, geewgi ettamun.*
 “He pierces him, [but] he does **not** bring the blood.”

114

In each proverb with affirmative-affirmative propositional order the two subjects change, in each proverb with affirmative-negative order the two subjects remain. While with all proverbs with affirmative-affirmative propositional order *goon* cannot be added, with all proverbs with affirmative-negative propositional order from a purely grammatical point of view *goon* is optional and could be added at the end of the first clause without changing its meaning.⁹⁷

No juxtaposed Kenzi proverb with concessive function has been found thus far. Alternatively I present one Kenzi sentence with concessive function from a narrative text:

- MS:K3⁹⁸ $\Delta\text{NNA } \text{I}\Delta \Delta\text{EM } \Delta\text{NOCCOYM}, \bar{\Delta}\Gamma \text{B}\Delta\text{FNMOYNOYN}.$
anna id adem anossum, aa banymunum.

<i>anna</i>	<i>id</i>	<i>adem</i>	<i>an-os-s-um</i>
1SG.POSS	husband	man	become-DEF-PRT2-3SG
<i>aag</i>	<i>bany-munum</i>		
DUR	speak-NEG.3SG		

“My husband became a human being, [but] he does not speak.”

That example indicates that creating the concessive function in Kenzi without *goon* is possible.

Next I look at juxtaposed clauses which are similar to the coordinated clauses with bisyndetic =*gon* as discussed in the preceding section, e.g. they present a **contrastive**. There is only one example from Dongolawi. For the purpose of rendering I add ‘but.’

5.3 Affirmative-negative propositional order with different subject

- DP23 $\omega\text{I66}\bar{\text{I}}\text{PN } \bar{\text{I}}\text{P } \Delta\Delta\text{BIN}, \text{B}\Delta\text{F}\text{P}\text{I}\Delta\text{N } \bar{\text{I}}\text{P } \Delta\Delta\text{BMOYNOY}.$
wicciirn iir dabin, banynyidn iir dabmunu.

<i>wicciir=n</i>	<i>iir</i>	<i>dab-in</i>
stick=GEN	mark	disappear-3SG
<i>banynyid=n</i>	<i>iir</i>	<i>dab-munun</i>
talking=GEN	mark	disappear-NEG.3SG

97 El-Shafie El-Guzuuli, p.c. However proverbs do not do it as thereby they would become less economical.

98 MASSENBACH, *Nubische Texte im Dialekt der Kunüzi und der Dongolawi*, p. 30. There is a similar construction on p. 46: *Zölanossu abainmunu*. (‘Although he became a human being, he did not speak.’)

“The mark of the stick disappears, [but] the mark of talking does not disappear.”

Besides concessive, *goon* expresses **temporal simultaneity** as shown above. For the purpose of rendering juxtaposed proverbial clauses having non-adversative temporal simultaneity I add temporal ‘while’:

115

Affirmative-affirmative propositional order with different subject

saale dessen togoor aagiru, tenn baram addo tub toon.

DP24

<i>saale</i>	<i>desse=n</i>	<i>togoor</i>	<i>aag-r-u</i>
sant.acacia	green=GEN	under	stay-NEUT-1PL
<i>tenn</i>	<i>baram</i>	<i>ar=do</i>	<i>tub</i>
3SG.POSS	blossoming	1.PL=LOC	sweep

toor-n
enter-3SG

“[While] We stay under the green acacia tree, its blossoming sweeps and enters [falls] on us.”

Note that Kenzi proverb κρ10 and narrative sentence MS:κ4 which have the same order do not omit *goon*.

Negative-negative propositional order with same subject

Both Dongolawi and Kenzi have one proverb where both verbs in both main clauses are negated; additionally the Kenzi proverb has both verbs in both clauses in the past tense. In order to express the additive function ‘neither’ instead of ‘not’ is used in the rendering:

ΚΑΛΤΙΓΙ ΚΑΛΜΟΥΝ, ΕΣΣΙΓΙ ΝΙΜΟΥΝ.
kaltigi kalmun, essigi niimun.

DP25

<i>kalti=gi</i>	<i>kal-mun</i>	<i>essi=gi</i>	<i>nii-mun</i>
food=ACC	eat-NEG	water=ACC	drink-NEG

“He does **not** eat the food, **neither** does he drink the water.”

ΜΙΣΣΙ ΝΑΛΚΟΜΝΟΥ, ΟΥΛΟΥΓ ΓΙΣΙΡΚΟΜΝΟΥ.
missi nalkoomnu, ulug gijirkoomnu.

KP25

<i>missi</i>	<i>nal-koo-munu</i>	<i>ulug</i>
eye	see-PRT1-NEG.3SG	ear

gijir-koo-munu
hear-PRT1-NEG.3SG

“The eye did **not** see, **neither** did the ear hear.”

Note that in KP25 both clauses carry the preterite tense. This corresponds with bisyndetic =*gon* constructions and is different to DP19.

6. Summary

The example sentences of the last three sections are gathered and presented in tables in order to support analysis:

Concessive

1st prop.	2nd prop.	subj.	realized by	source
aff	aff	same	<i>goon</i>	DP1
			<i>goon</i>	KP1
aff	aff	different	X ⁹⁹	DP18
aff	neg	same	X but <i>goon</i> possible	DP20
			X	MS:K3
aff	neg	different	X but <i>goon</i> possible	DS4
			<i>goon</i>	KP4
neg	aff	same	<i>goon</i>	DP5 ¹⁰⁰

Contrastive

1st prop.	2nd prop.	subj.	realized by	source
aff	aff	different	= <i>gon</i> = <i>gon</i>	DP14
			= <i>gon</i> = <i>gon</i>	KP14,
				MS:K6
aff	neg	different	X	DP23

Non-adversative temporal simultaneity

1st prop.	2nd prop.	subj.	realized by	source
aff	aff	same	<i>goon</i>	KP9
aff	aff	different	X	DP24
			<i>goon</i>	KP10,
				MS:K4
neg	aff	same	<i>goon</i>	MS:D1
neg	neg	same	X	DP25,
				KP25

99 X means that propositions are juxtaposed. A missing marker is confirmed by DP26.11 and two further proverbs not listed; i.e. four proverbs altogether.

100 In this row I do not list the Kenzi proverbs with same order and *meenin goon*, as co-investigators tended to render it temporally.

Non-adversative additive

1st prop.	2nd prop.	subj.	realized by	source
aff	aff	different	= <i>gon</i> = <i>gon</i>	DP17

Note that in contrast to the collection of Dongolawi proverbs, in Massenbach’s Dongolawi narrative texts *goon* and bisyndetic =*gon* realising adversative aspects have not been discovered,¹⁰¹ the same as in Dongolawi narrative texts I collected myself. Kenzi concessive *goon* has also not been discovered in Kenzi narrative texts. Either the adversative is much less used in narrative texts or the behaviour of *goon* and bisyndetic =*gon* correspond the Kalinga marker *yakon* ‘but’ which “is not used in hortatory texts” and “in narratives [except] when the countering proposition is important or relevant to what follows.”¹⁰²

The concessive function is usually marked by postpositional *goon* after the first clause. In a few propositional orders there is no marker.

The contrastive function is marked by bisyndetic =*gon* when the propositions are affirmative–affirmative, otherwise it stays unmarked.

The non-adversative temporal simultaneity (‘while’) is marked in a similar way as the concessive (as far as data are available). In Dongolawi the affirmative–affirmative proposition with different subject is not marked in both, concessive and temporal simultaneity. There is one difference: In juxtaposed clauses the preterite tense-aspect marker occurs in both clauses in opposition to the concessive clauses. The affinity between the concessive function and temporal simultaneity is interpreted as *goon* putting the emphasis more on simultaneity which is also present in proverbs with concessive function, than on adversativity.

The non-adversative additive (‘and’) is marked in a similar way as the contrastive (as far as data are available). As in Dongolawi and Kenzi, in Russian contrast and additive have the same marker.

goon and bisyndetic =*gon* are not the only markers used in ‘but’ coordination in Dongolawi and Kenzi. Further markers are borrowed from Arabic. I leave a discussion of non-indigenous adversative markers and adversativity on discourse level for a further paper.

101 Gertrud von Massenbach did not get the opportunity to visit the Dongola area herself, she worked with Dongolawi living in the Kenzi area. Therefore Kenzi may have had some influence. However I checked the data with El-Shafie El-Guzuuli.

102 LEVINSOHN, *Self-Instruction Materials on Non-Narrative Discourse Analysis*, p. 30.

Bibliography

118

- ABDEL-HAFIZ, Ahmed Sokarno. *A Reference Grammar of Kunuz Nubian*. PhD Thesis, State University of New York, Buffalo NY, 1988.
- . “Nubian Relative Clauses.” *Journal of the Arts Faculty. Assiut University*, 1989.
- . “Coordinate Constructions in Fadicca and English.” *Languages in Contrast* 10.1 (2010): pp. 1–28.
- ARMBRUSTER, Charles Hubert. *Dongolese Nubian: A Grammar*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1960.
- . *Dongolese Nubian: A Lexicon: Nubian-English, English-Nubian*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1965.
- BECHHAUS-GERST, Marianne. *Sprachwandel durch Sprachkontakt am Beispiel des Nubischen im Niltal: Möglichkeiten und Grenzen einer diachronen Soziolinguistik*. With an English summary. Cologne: Rüdiger Köppe, 1996.
- BENDER, M. Lionel. “Nilo-Saharan.” In *African Languages: An Introduction*, edited by Bernd HEINE & Derek NURSE. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- BLAKEMORE, Diane. *Relevance and Linguistic Meaning: The Semantics and Pragmatics of Discourse Markers*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- CRYSTAL, David. *A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics*. 5th edition. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers, 2003.
- EL-GUZUULI, El-Shafie & Marcus JAEGER. “Aspects of Dongolawi Roots and Affixes: Related to Orthography.” In *Unity and Diversity of Nubian Languages: Toward a Standardized Writing System of Nubian Languages*, edited by Muhammad J. HASHIM & Abdel Rahim Hamid MUGADDAM. Cape Town: CASAS, 2012.
- FINNEGAN, Ruth. *Oral Literature in Africa*. Nairobi: Oxford University Press, 1970.
- HĀMID KHABĪR AlShaich. *Nubian Wisdom and Proverbs from Dongola*. Khartoum: Nader, 2007.
جمعية دنقلا للثقافة و التراث النوبي من دنقلا: نادر, 2007.
- HASPELMATH, Martin. E., ed. *Coordinating Constructions*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publisher, 2004.
- . “Coordination.” In *Language Typology and Syntactic Description, vol. II: Complex Constructions*, edited by Timothy Shopen. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- HERZOG, Rolf. *Die Nubier: Untersuchungen und Betrachtungen zur Gruppengliederung, Gesellschaftsform und Wirtschaftsweise*. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 1957.
- HORN, Laurence Robert. *A Natural History of Negation*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1989.

- JAEGER, Marcus & Kamal HISSEIN. "Aspects of Kenzi-Dongolawi Phonology Related to Orthography." In *Unity and Diversity of Nubian Languages: Toward a Standardized Writing System of Nubian Languages*, edited by Muhammad J. HASHIM and Abdel Rahim Hamid MUGADDAM. Cape Town: CASAS, 2012.
- KIBRIK, Andrej A. "Coordination in Upper Kuskokwim Athabaskan." In *Coordinating Constructions*, edited by Martin HASPELMATH. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publisher, 2004.
- KÖNIG, Ekkehard. "Concessive Connectives and Concessive Sentences." In *Explaining Language Universals*, edited by John HAWKINS. Oxford: Blackwell, 1988.
- LEVINSOHN, Stephen H. *Self-Instruction Materials on Narrative Discourse Analysis*. SIL International, 2012. <<http://www.sil.org/~levinsohn/narr.pdf>> (Accessed November 4, 2013)
- . *Self-Instruction Materials on Non-Narrative Discourse Analysis*. SIL International, 2012. <<http://www.sil.org/~levinsohn/non-narr.pdf>> (Accessed November 4, 2013)
- LEWIS, M. Paul, Gary F. SIMONS & Charles D. FENNIG, eds. *Ethnologue: Languages of the World*, 17th ed. Dallas, TX: SIL International, 2013. Online version: <<http://www.ethnologue.com>>, esp. <<http://www.ethnologue.com/language/dgl>> (Andaandi) and <<http://www.ethnologue.com/language/xnz>> (Kenzi) (Accessed February 27, 2013)
- LONGACRE, Robert E. "Sentences as combination of clauses." In *Language Typology and Syntactic Description, vol. 11: Complex Constructions*, edited by Timothy Shopen. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- MALCHUKOV, Andrej L. "Towards a Semantic Typology of Adversative and Contrast Marking." *Journal of Semantics* 21 (2004): 177–198.
- MASSENBAACH, Gertrud von. *Wörterbuch des nubischen Kunuzi-Dialektes: mit einer grammatischen Einleitung*. Jerusalem: Syr. Waisenhaus, 1933.
- . *Nubische Texte im Dialekt der Kunūzi und der Dongolawi: mit Glossar*. [= *Deutsche Morgenländische Gesellschaft: Abhandlungen für die Kunde des Morgenlandes* 34.4]. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner, 1962.
- MORGAN, David. "Semantic Constraints on Relevance in Lobala Discourse." In *Discourse Features of Ten Languages of West-Central Africa*, edited by Stephen H. LEVINSOHN. Dallas TX: SIL, 1994.
- PAYNE, Thomas E. *Describing Morphosyntax: A Guide for Field Linguists*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- REAGAN, Timothy. *Non-Western Educational Traditions: Indigenous Approaches to Educational Thought and Practice*. New York: Routledge, 2010.

- RILLY, Claude. "The Linguistic Position of Meroitic." *ARKAMANI Sudan Electronic Journal of Archaeology and Anthropology*, 2004. <<http://www.arkamani.org/arkamani-library/meroitic/rilly.htm>> (Accessed June 10, 2011)
- TAHA A. Taha. "Proverbs in a threatened Language Variety in Africa." *California Linguistic Notes* 36.1 (2011). <<http://hss.fullerton.edu/linguistics/cln/pdf/2011/W11%20PDF/Taha-DN%20proverbs.pdf>> (Accessed August 19, 2011)
- TSUBOI, Eijiro. "Malefactivity in Japanese." In *Benefactives and Malefactives*, edited by Fernando ZÚÑIGA & Seppo KITILÄ. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publisher, 2010.
- WERNER, Roland. *Grammatik des Nobiin (Nilnubisch): Phonologie, Tonologie und Morphologie*. Hamburg: Helmut Buske, 1987.
- ZEEVAT, H. "Particles: Presupposition Triggers, Context Markers or Speech Act Markers." In *Optimality Theory and Pragmatics*, edited by R. BLUTNER & H. ZEEVAT. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004.