

# **Dotawo: A Journal of Nubian Studies**

Volume 2 Article 10

2015

# Kadaru-Kurtala Phonemes

Thomas Kuku Alaki library@suremail.org

Russell Norton russell.norton@suremail.org

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.fairfield.edu/djns

# **Recommended Citation**

Alaki, Thomas Kuku and Norton, Russell (2015) "Kadaru-Kurtala Phonemes," *Dotawo: A Journal of Nubian Studies*: Vol. 2, Article 10.

Available at: https://digitalcommons.fairfield.edu/djns/vol2/iss1/10

This item has been accepted for inclusion in DigitalCommons@Fairfield by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@Fairfield. It is brought to you by DigitalCommons@Fairfield with permission from the rights-holder(s) and is protected by copyright and/or related rights. You are free to use this item in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses, you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/or on the work itself. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@fairfield.edu.

# Kadaru-Kurtala Phonemes

Thomas Kuku Alaki and Russell Norton

#### Introduction

The purpose of this phoneme statement is to support the development of an alphabet and alphabet booklet with Kadaru speakers from Kurtala. According to *Ethnologue*, 'Kadaru [kdu] is one of seven languages that can be distinguished within the Hill Nubian family, and it is spoken on hills east of Dilling by the following six clans, each with their own dialect:

- Dabatna or Kaaral
- Kafir or Ka'e
- ▶ Kurtala or Ngokra
- ▶ Kadaru or Kodur
- Kuldaji or Kendal
- Kururu or Tagle

The name in Arabic for the area where this language is spoken is Jibāl Al-Sitta "Mountains of the Six" but speakers prefer indigenous names, even though these vary according to their clan. "Kadaru" is a name of one of the clans but is also in use for the whole area and language of all six clans. The speakers consulted in this paper are from the Kurtala clan. In their view, the term "Kurtala" can refer to the whole area and language of the six clans, just as the term "Kadaru" can. They also affirm that the six clans speak related dialects and can understand each other.

The phonology of another clan dialect, Tagle, is described by Ibrahim & Huttenga<sup>2</sup> and on inspection we find that the Tagle data in that paper are very close to the Kurtala data in this paper, so we affirm that they can be considered dialects of the same language. Another Kadaru word list is recorded by Thelwall.<sup>3</sup> Kurtala dialect speakers consulted for the data in this paper were Juma Kodi Brema, Abdu, and Ziber who live in Khartoum. A word list was transcribed

- 1 Lewis, Simons & Fennig, Ethnologue.
- 2 IBRAHIM & HUTTENGA, "The Phoneme System of Tagle."
- 3 THELWALL, Lexicostatistical Relations between Nubian, Daju and Dinka.

216

in the International Phonetic Alphabet and in a trial alphabet in the Roman script in consultation with the speakers. The transcriptions of Kadaru words were then refined by contrastive analysis, with the speakers giving their emic judgements on whether similar phones count as same or different according to the participatory research method of Kutsch-Lojenga.<sup>4</sup> This work was conducted initially during December 2011 in Khartoum, and then refined during the preparation of this paper.

#### A. Consonants

### Consonant chart

Tentative consonant phonemes are shown in table 1. Consonants with limited distribution are in parentheses.

Table 1. Consonant phonemes

	labial	dental	alveolar	palatal	velar
vl plosives	(p)	ţ	t (t <sup>w</sup> )	(c)	k (kw)
vd plosives	b	(ď)	d	ţ	(g)
fricatives				ſ	
nasals	m		n	'n	ŋ
lateral			(1)		
trill			(r)		
flap			(٢)		
approximants	(w)			(j)	

There are voiced and voiceless plosives in five places of articulation and nasals in four of these. There is only one fricative  $/\int/$  which we assign to the palatal column. There are three alveolar liquids – a lateral, a trill, and a flap – and there are two central approximants.

### 2. Consonant distribution

Table 2 shows the distribution of consonants word-initially, intervocalically, and word-finally:

Table 2. Consonant distribution

phon.	initial		intervoc	alic	final	
(p)	_		_		kɔp	lion
b	búl	dog	àbúl	mouth	_	
ţ	turín	locust	jatu	goat	í <u>t</u>	person
(d)	_		biḍiḍ	bat	biḍiḍ	bat
t	tidəm	ostrich	titim	dove	∫ứt	thread
d	dor	skin	dεdʊ	cloud	∫úd	sand
(t <sup>w</sup> )	t <sup>w</sup> anʊ	bellies	_		_	
(c)	caŋ	python	_		_	

<sup>4</sup> Kutsch-Lojenga, "Participatory Research in Linguistics."

phon.	initial		intervoc	alic	final	
ſ	∫íːl	king	èʃí	hand	ku∫	bowl
ţ	ታadʊ	tongue	ko <del>j</del> əŋ	reptile	ίγίţ	liver <sup>5</sup>
k	kùḍú	mount	kákáː	stone	tε <del>ֈֈ</del> ʊk	thre
(kw)	k <sup>w</sup> a∫a	$bowl^6$	_		_	
(g)	_		bʊga	buffalo	_	
m	mèn	back	kʊmʊ̀l	snake	tarʊm	tortoise
n	ทบท	throne	anıŋa	drum	εnεn	mother
ŋ	nınıl	leftside	tบเทล	liver	turin	locust
ŋ	ŋamɪjɛ	there	anıŋa	drum	toruŋ	chameleon
(l)	_		∫alε	salt	kamťl	axe
(r)	_		kòru	shield	ór	tree
(r)	_		tarʊm	tortoise	_	
(w)	wərtíl	sheep	kớwa	kitchen	_	
(i)	iatʊ	goat	ıia	neck	_	

Blank cells (—) show that no word was found with the consonant in that position. Only six obstruents /t/, /t/, /d/, /f/, /t/, /k/ and the four nasals are confirmed in all three environments. The distributions of the other consonants are limited in a variety of ways:

word-initial only	word-initial & inter- vocalic only	intervocalic only	intervocalic & word- final only	word-final only
С	b	g	ď	р
k <sup>w</sup>	W	r	Ï	
t <sup>w</sup>	i		r	

Table 3. Consonants with limited distribution

The plosives show a wide variety of distributional limitations in the data, but the three liquids /l/, /r/, / $\xi$ / share the property of being absent word-initially and the two approximants / $\xi$ / share the property of being absent word-finally.

Of the consonants with restricted distributions, the labial plosives [b] and [p] in particular are phonetically similar sounds occurring in complementary distribution. However, this complementary distribution is not repeated for voiced and voiceless obstruents at other places of articulation. Rather, the specification of voicing is subject to different redundancies for labial, dental, and velar obstruents, as given in table 4. Blank cells indicate that both voiced and voiceless obstruents have been recorded in that environment, so voicing is not specified either as voiced or as voiceless in that en-

<sup>5</sup> The word [tu:na] "liver" is considered to be native to Kurtala, but [íɣíɟ] is another word meaning "liver" in circulation.

<sup>6 [</sup>kwa[a], [kwσ[a], [kuf] "bowl" are variant forms with the same meaning.

vironment for that place of articulation. For example, there are no voicing restrictions on alveolars or palatals.

Table 4.
Redundancies
in the
voicing
feature

	initial	intervocalic	final
labial	voiced only	voiced only	voiceless only
dental	voiceless only		
alveolar			
palatal			
velar	voiceless only		voiceless only

Whether labials are voiced or voiceless is specified redundantly in all three environments. This is also the case in the dominant language Sudanese Arabic [apd], where labial plosives are always voiced. However, Kadaru-Kurtala is distinguished from Sudanese Arabic in word-final position. In Sudanese Arabic, labial plosives are voiced word-finally, e.g. [baːb] "door," but in Kadaru-Kurtala, labial plosives are voiceless word-finally, e.g.:

The phonetics of voiceless word-final plosives in Kadaru-Kurtala is described further below under "Free Variants."

## 2.1 Free variants

A voiced palatal plosive may become a postalveolar fricative word-initially.

$$c \sim \int / \#_{\perp}$$
 e.g. can,  $\int an$  "python"

A voiced velar plosive may become a fricative intervocalically.

$$g \sim \gamma / V_{-}V$$
 e.g. è $\gamma$ íl "today"  $\dot{v}$ g $\dot{v}$  "blood"   
è $\gamma$ í "goats" buga "buffalo"

An alveolar trill may become a single tap intervocalically.

<sup>7</sup> Lewis, Simons & Fennig, Ethnologue.

A voiceless plosive may be unreleased or with nasal release word-finally.

$$P^{\gamma} \sim P^{M} / _{\#}$$
 e.g.  $ip^{\gamma}$ ,  $ip^{m}$  "tail" ( $P = voiceless plosive$ ,  $M = homorganic nasal$ )

In a word-final voiceless plosive, the closure is made with an observable degree of muscular tension and crucially this closure is maintained for longer than the air pressure behind the closure in the oral cavity, so that there is no oral release of the voiceless plosive. This produces an unreleased plosive e.g. [p], unless the air pressure behind the oral closure is released through the velic passage instead by opening the velum, producing a plosive with nasal release e.g. [p]. The nasal release is clearly voiceless, confirming that the word-final plosive is voiceless rather than voiced. Compare also the plural form "tails" where voicelessness is reconfirmed:

#### 3. Consonant contrasts

Contrastive word pairs are shown for phonetically similar consonants in table 5. Since minimal pairs are lacking, word pairs are given in which the two consonants are in minimal contrast in the syllable in which they occur. Weaker contrastive word pairs are shown in parentheses.

p-b	_		_		
b-m	abul mouth		วmʊl	elephant	
b-w	bara	yellow	wata	ash	
m-w	mεɲ	back	wεŋga	that	
t-d	(ítූ	person	bidid	bat)	
t-t	(turín	locust	titim	dove)	
₫-d	បd្តប	breast	dεdʊ	cloud	
$t-t^{w}$	tarʊm	tortoise	t <sup>w</sup> anʊ	bellies	
t-d	tɛɲʊ	thigh	dεdʊ	cloud	
	katʊ	field	dεdʊ	cloud	
	∫ứt	thread	∫úd	sand	
d-n	dʊl	larynx	ทบฑ	throne	
d-I	(dεdʊ	cloud	bεlε	sesame)	
n-I	(ะทะท	mother	bεlε	sesame)	
l-r	kεlɪ	food	εrī	rope	
I-r	∫alε	salt	taŗε	plate	
r-r	(IrIn	nose	kìráŋ	drum)	
C- <del>J</del>	(caŋ	python	tadប	tongue)	

Table 5. Contrastive word pairs

220	

∫- <del>j</del>	(ʃaŋ	python	<del>J</del> adʊ	tongue)
	∫u∫əŋ	to go	koታəŋ	reptile
<del>ֈ</del> –ր	tadប	tongue	nama	dough
n-j	лата	dough	jad̞ʊ	sugarcane
j−j	tadប	tongue	jad̞ʊ	sugarcane
k-k <sup>w</sup>	kákáː	stone	k <sup>w</sup> a∫a	bowl
k-g	kákáː	stone	buga	buffalo
ŋ-w	ŋamɪjε	there	wata	ash
k <sup>w</sup> -w	k <sup>w</sup> a∫a	bowl	wata	ash
m-n	(mὲɲ	back	ทบฑ	throne)
n-n	εηεη	mother	kεɲεltʊ	egg
n-n	лата	dough	ŋamɪjε	there

Word pairs for voiced-voiceless contrast vary considerably in quality for different places of articulation: the alveolar contrast /t-d/ is well supported by word pairs in multiple environments, with intermediate degrees of evidence of contrast at other places of articulation, down to the labials /p/ and /b/ which appear in complementary distribution giving no contrastive word pairs at all. Nevertheless, at the stage of forming a trial alphabet, the speakers who were consulted perceive an emic distinction between /p/ and /b/, including the perception that Kadaru word-final [p] is different from Sudanese Arabic word-final [b]. It remains to be seen whether this perception of the distinction between /p/ and /b/ is shared by a larger number of Kadaru speakers, and this is being tested by the distribution of an alphabet booklet based on the distinctions presented in this paper.

The palatal plosive /c/ and fricative  $/\int/$  also do not have contrastive word pairs, and there is evidence of free variation between them in section 2.1 above. But again, consulted speakers seem to perceive an emic distinction between /c/ and  $/\int/$ . As this is the only fricative phoneme in the language in table 1, we conclude that it is the result of a shift  $*c > \int$  which is incomplete in word-initial position where the cases of [c] are found, and also incomplete for double consonants (see section 4 below). The speakers are aware of this recent sound shift in their language, and hence aware of the distinction itself between /c/ and  $/\int/$ , perhaps aided by the acoustic difference between /c/ and  $/\int/$ , perhaps aided by the acoustic difference between /c/ and  $/\int/$ , perhaps aided by the acoustic difference between /c/ and  $/\int/$ , perhaps aided by the acoustic difference between /c/ and  $/\int/$ , perhaps aided by the acoustic difference between /c/ and  $/\int/$ , perhaps aided by the acoustic difference between /c/ and  $/\int/$ , perhaps /c/ and  $/\int/$ , perhaps aided by the acoustic difference between /c/ and  $/\int/$ .

## 4. Consonant sequences

14

Table 6 shows that Kadaru-Kurtala has consonant sequences word-medially. The range of attested consonant sequences is relatively free, including examples of non-homorganic nasal and plosive apparently conditioned by the preceding vowel, [mt] after a rounded vowel and [nd] after a front vowel. It also includes at least one se-

quence of two plosives. This exceeds the range of consonant sequences found in the related Hill Nubian language Uncu (also identified as Ghulfan [ghl]<sup>8</sup>) in data we elicited in 2007, shown on the right-hand side of the table for comparison.

Kad	daru-Kurtala		Unc	eu	
nţ	nวทุtซ	moon	nţ	ţὲnţứ	intestines
nd	<del>J</del> ứndữ	small	nd	kándktú	bird
nt	kúntú	knee	nt	òntΰ	arm
nd	kʊnda	smoke	nd	arεndύwa	sky
րֈ	kʊŋɟaŋ	lyre	րֈ	tวท <sub>ี่</sub> ว	thigh
ŋg	oŋgol	road			
mt	kυmtε	(woman's name)			
ŋd	akindʊ	adze			
ld	eldo	heart	ld	ábʊld̞ε	adze
lt	káltú	eye	lt	káltừ	eye
Ιd	kʊlḍaɟɪ	(clan name)			
اچا	υlça	ear	ا۾	บlca	ear
lm	∫almε	chin			
rb	tarbʊ	twenty	rb	tàrbɔ	twenty
rţ	kɔrt̞ʊ	shoe	rţ	orţī	sheep
rt	wərtíl	sheep	rt	àmʊrtɛ̂	palm
rd	kɔrd̞ʊ	forest	rd	∫̃erd̞ʊː	short
			rd	k <sup>w</sup> ard1lE	cock
r∫	kɔr∫ัʊ	six	r∫	ír∫ʊ	wind
rk	bεrkʊ	(placename)			
			rŋ	orŋarʊ	leaf
kl	taklε	(clan name)			
			kr	kákrí	stones
kŗ	ŋɔkṛal	(clan name)			
dk	kudkire	dust			

Table 6. 221 Consonant sequences

Here, we find a dissimilation process affecting the fricative:

The postalveolar fricative /ʃ/ becomes alveolopalatal following an alveolar lateral.

 $/\int/ \rightarrow [\varsigma] / l_e.g. ulsa "ear"$ 

Table 7 shows that Kadaru-Kurtala also has long consonants word-medially. Since the language has consonant sequences word-medially as in table 6, the long consonants in table 7 are interpreted as double consonants.

<sup>8</sup> Lewis, Simons & Fennig, Ethnologue.

Table 7. Double consonants

222

	single		double	
рр	íp	tail	íppanυ	tails
tt	katʊ	field	attʊ	wing
dd	dεdʊ	cloud	kʊddʊ	leg
сс	caŋ	snake	ticco	five
tt	tε <del>j</del> ε	green	tε <del>ֈֈ</del> ʊk	three
kk	kťkť	chicken	wàkkứ	chest
gg	ύgύ	blood	ıggá	ire
mm	òmứl	elephant	υmma	many
nn	aniŋa	drum	tɪnna	wife
II	∫alε	salt	kɛllɛ	red
rr	kέrε	porridge	terre	bull

The plosives /p/, /c/, /g/ all have very restricted distributions as single consonants, but they also occur as double consonants providing a little more support for them, although we have not found contrasting examples of /pp/ with /bb/ or /cc/ with / $\int \int \int d^2 k \, dk$ . However, voiced and voiceless double plosives both occur at alveolar, palatal, and velar places of articulation, providing some more support for the voicing contrasts /t-d/, /c- $\frac{1}{2}$ /, and /k-g/.

#### B. Vowels

## 1. Vowel phonemes

Vowel phonemes are given in table 8. Two less well-established phonemes are given in parentheses.

Table 8. Vowel phonemes

	[-ATR]			[+ATR]		
close	I		υ	i		u
mid	3		Э	(e)		0
open		a			( <del>6</del> )	

### Vowel contrasts

Table 9 shows that all ten vowels occur in words with two identical vowels or words with one vowel. These words contain only one vowel quality and therefore demonstrate that the vowel qualities are not derived by harmony with another vowel, but are substantiated by separate word sets verified by speakers.

Table 9. Word sets for vowel phonemes

	two identica	l vowels	single vowel		
i	irid	canoe	iţt	person	
	írín	scorpion	∫iŋ	termite house	
	titim	dove	∫íːl	king	
	bidid	bat	ţi	cow	

## Kadaru-Kurtala Phonemes

	two identica	l vowels	single v	vowel
I	kíní	doors	íp	tail
	írɪŋ	nose	ţìl	hair
	nıŋıl	left side	II	sun
е	nen <del>j</del> ê	what is it?	kel	stick
3	bεlε	sesame	kέl	boundary
	εηεη	mother	mὲŋ	back
	tεrrε	bull	tšd	one
	bε <del>j</del> ε	green		
ə	kərəl	(placename)		
	fele	k.o. tree		
a	kàkà	crow	kal	porridge
	kákáː	stone		
	ára	rain		
	tataŋ	all		
Э	ókὸ	chest	tóː	belly
	ongol	road	kɔp	lion
	orro	two	วŋ	year
0	óndo	donkey	kòl	house
			dor	skin
			OI	hillside spring
υ	kʊddʊ	leg	bứl	dog
	ύgΰ	blood	ทบm	throne
	ΰἀτ	breast	dứl	larynx
	kʊmʊ̀l	snake	∫ứt	thread
u	unu	flies	∫úd	sand
	kúntú	knee	kuː	chicken stomach
	kúndu	smoke		
	kùdú	mount		

Table 10 shows contrastive word pairs for phonetically similar vowels. Wherever possible the word pairs show the two vowels in minimal contrast in the syllables in which they occur.

i-ı	íţ	person	íp	tail
	írín	scorpion	íɟíɲ	nose
е-ε	kel	stick	kέl	boundary
ə-a	әли	fat	anʊ	alive
0-D	ondo	donkey	nวทุtซ	moon
			ວŋ	year
น–ซ	uri	black		~~~~~
u o	uii	DIACK	บทเ	grass
I-E	ţìl	hair	kέl	boundary
	-		-	U

Table 10. Contrastive word pairs

ე-ʊ	oko	chest	ύgΰ	blood
0-U	kòl	house	búl	dog
i-e	ſíːl	king	kel	stick
u-o	uri	black	ori	rope
e-ə	egil	today	əboki	(place name)

224

# 3. Vowel Distribution

All ten vowels have unrestricted distribution with respect to word boundaries as shown in table 11.

Table 11. Vowel distribution in the word

phon.	initial		medial		final	
i	ırtida	root	kedil	bone	è∫í	hand
I	íɟɪŋ	nose	ţíl	hair	kíní	doors
e	è∫í	hand	bèrí	yellow	bi <del>j</del> e	beer
3	εηεη	mother	tεrrε	bull	bɛlɛ	sesame
u	unu <u>t</u>	fly	∫ú <u>t</u> í	fish spear	úgú	big
υ	ΰnɪ	relative	búl	dog	ìἀΩ	woman
0	óndo	donkey	kól	house	do:	skin
Э	ómʊl	elephant	bóltʊ		orro	two
ə	əboki	(place name)	koɟəŋ	alligator	∫ekkə	(pers. name)
a	àttớ	wing	kal	porridge	dɔta	tool

The distribution of vowels is restricted by the generalisation that vowels of different [ATR] sets are not mixed in the same word. Table 12a gives words with [-ATR] vowels, and table 12b words with [+ATR] vowels.

Table 12a. Vowel harmony [-ATR]

	I	ε	a	Э	υ
I	tɪtɪm	bɪkὲ	ıgga	ćbì	ı:tυ
	dove	worms	fire	eight	louse
3		bɛlɛ	ὲdaŋ		dὲdΰ
		sesame	leaf		cloud
a	orti	taŗε	ara	karɔl	áttú
	sheep	plate	rain	fish	wing
Э	บทเ	kòrέ	dota	ŋgɔtɔ	kɔrt̪ʊ
	grass	leprosy	tool	near	shoe
υ		ΰrὲ	kʊnda		kútú
		black	smoke		stone

Table 12b. Vowel harmony [+ATR]

	i	е	ə	0	u
i	irid	bi <del>j</del> e	tidəm		iruː
	canoe	beer	ostrich		sea

#### Kadaru-Kurtala Phonemes

	i	е	ə	0	u
е	bèrí	nen <del>j</del> ê	kendəl	eldo	bènu
	yellows	what is it?	(clan name)	heart	thigh
Э	wərtíl		∫ə∫ə	əboki	ənu
	sheep		kind of tree	(place name)	fat
О	ori	tor∫en	koɟəŋ	ondo	kòru
	rope	(man's name)	reptile	donkey	shield
u	uri	kúmè		durko	kúndu
	black	kind of rat		(man's name)	smoke

225

## C. Syllables and prosody

## 1. Syllable structure

Kadaru-Kurtala has words with all four basic syllable types, in table 13.

CV	ţí	cow
V	13	we
VC	oŋ	year
CVC	kòl	house

Table 13. Syllable types

The four syllable types combine in longer words in table 14.

CV.CV	bɛ.lɛ	sesame
CV.CVC	ka.ŗɔl	fish
CVC.CV	kɔr.t̞ʊ	shoe
CVC.CVC	wər.til	sheep
VC.CV	on.do	donkey
VC.CVC	ეუ.gɔl	road
V.CV	υ.nɪ	grass
V.CVC	i.rid̯	canoe
V.V		

Table 14. Syllable types combined

The combination V.V is lacking. In [1ja] "neck" and [kuwa] "kitchen," the intervocalic approximant is arguably inserted as a transitional sound following /1/ and / $\upsilon$ /, since /j/ and /w/ have not been found between two non-high vowels, which would give these words a /VV/ sequence underlyingly. Since there is no wider support for V.V sequences from words containing other sequences such [\$\varepsilon\$], [\text{j1}], etc. the approximants /j/ and /w/ ensure that [1.ja] "neck" and [ku.wa] "kitchen" fit into the CV.CV and V.CV structures that are attested in other words.

# 2. Vowel length and tone

We offer only tentative initial evidence regarding the role of prosodic features of vowel length and tone. In tables 15a and 15b, examples of long vowels are shown in words of one open syllable and in longer words, respectively. We have very few examples of contrasting short vowels in the same environment; nearly all of them are for high front vowels.

226

Table 15a. Vowel length in words of one open syllable (V of CV)

	long		short	
i	bix	other	ţí	cow
I	II	sun	bı	some
(e)				
ε	ž	we		
	tέː	grinding		
	beɪ	one		
(9)				
a				
5	tóː	belly		
0	oï	hillside spring		
	dòː	skin		
υ	ừι	head		
	kʊː	nipple		
u	kur	chicken stomach		

Table 15b. Vowel length in longer words

long	long		short	
ſíːl	king	ţíl	hair	
ı:tu	louse	irux	sea	
káká:	stone	kàkà	crow	
turna	liver			

Since there is a strong tendency in the data for long vowels to occur in words of one open syllable, more data is needed to establish a reliable vowel length contrast as opposed to predictable lengthening.

In table 16 we also note some tentative evidence that tone contrast exists in the language.

Table 16. Possible tone contrasts

high		low	
téː	grinding	tèː	lake
kál	after me	kàl	porridge
kákáː	stone	kàkà	crow
ứnì	relative	ừnì	grass

More investigation is needed to be able to analyse tone in the language.

# D. Orthography

In consultation with Kadaru-Kurtala speakers, the letters in table 17 were suggested for writing the language.

Table 17. Proposed letters

227

phoneme	letter	example	gloss
a	а	aninga	drum
b	b	bul	dog
c	С	ticcu	five
d	d	dotu	horn
ď	dh	bïdhïdh	bat
ε	е	edang	leaf
e	ë	ësï	hand
g	g	egi	goats
I	i	igga	fire
i	ï	tï	cow
t	j	jadhu	tongue
k	k	küdhü	mountain
$k^{w}$	kw	kwosa	stone dish
1	l	köl	house
m	m	omul	elephant
n	n	nonthu	moon
ŋ	ng	kirang	drum
ŋ	ny	ïrïny	scorpion
Э	0	or	tree
0	ö	köl	house
р	р	ip	tail
r	r	ara	rain
r	rh	tarhum	tortoise
ſ	S	usi	hand
t	t	turundu	chameleon
ţ	th	thürï	pot
υ	и	ulca	ear
u	ü	ünütü	star
w	w	wartil	sheep
j	γ	yadu	sugarcane

Jabr El Dar has proposed an inventory of letters for all the communities of the Ajang or Hill Nubian language family to write their languages, based on the phonologies of Deleny or Dilling [dil], Kar-

<sup>9</sup> JABR EL DAR, "Towards a General Orthography of Ajang Languages."

228

ko [kko], and the Tagle dialect of Kadaru [kdu] as analysed by Ibrahim and Huttenga.¹¹O Our suggested Kadaru-Kurtala alphabet uses the consonant symbols and digraphs proposed by Jabr El Dar, but we add s for the palatal fricative to distinguish it from c for the palatal plosive. Abdelbagi Ali Daida of the Uncu Documentation Project at the American University in Cairo writes the Uncu or Ghulfan [ghl] language using a digraph sh for the palatal fricative, but this digraph is not employed in contrast with the simple graph s which is not used in his orthography, but Kadaru-Kurtala writers favour the single graph.

For vowels, Jabr El Dar proposes adding extra vowel symbols to the five vowel letters {aeiou} of the Roman script in order to write all ten vowels. He mentions that other languages of Sudan use the umlaut for [+ATR] vowels, but raises the problem that it is not easy to read a vowel with a tone diacritic on top of an umlaut diacritic. However, Uncu is written using umlauts for [+ATR] vowels, and in alphabet booklets that we have facilitated for many other languages of the Nuba Mountains and Blue Nile, umlauts are widely and successfully used to mark [+ATR] vowels, known to members of the communities as "heavy" vowels. Futhermore, writers from some of these communities, in particular Katcha, Lima, and Julud, had earlier tried vowel digraphs {ax,ex,ix,ox,ux} for [+ATR] vowels and have since decided to switch to using umlauts instead. Therefore, we propose umlauts for [+ATR] vowels in Kadaru. The exception to this is that the letter {a} without umlaut is currently in use for writing Kadaru for both [-ATR] and [+ATR] central vowels, which may be feasible because of the relative rarity of the [+ATR] central vowel /ə/ occurring as the only vowel in a word.

We are recommending an orthography without tone marks. Bird has shown that including tone marking in a writing system can slow down reading and writing," and therefore we consider that not every tonal language needs to write tone. If need be, there are ways of writing tone other than stacking further diacritics on top of the umlaut. In Laru [lro], for example, a Heiban language in the Nuba Mountains where [+ATR] vowels are written with umlauts, contrastive high tone is marked by writing a double vowel, as documented by Abdalla. So if tone contrasts are found to be widespread in Kadaru to distinguish words and grammatical differences, and if they are clearly perceived by Kadaru speakers, then there could be consultations on writing tone.

<sup>10</sup> Ibrahim & Huttenga, "The Phoneme System of Tagle."

<sup>11</sup> BIRD, "When Marking Tone Reduces Fluency."

<sup>12</sup> ABDALLA, "Statement about the Tone Feature in Laru."

#### E. Conclusion

Kadaru-Kurtala has a consonant inventory spread over five places of articulation, but many of the consonants show limited distributions. As a result, the distributional evidence does not always match the emic perceptions of contrast by the speakers consulted, in particular for the plosives /p/ and /c/ which are not well-supported by distributional evidence. Kadaru-Kurtala also has a system of ten vowels with [±ATR] contrast for each of the five vowel qualities, and ATR harmony in words with two or more vowels. Contrastive evidence for two of the [+ATR] vowels /e/ and /ə/ is less frequent in our data, and so far it seems possible for writers to under-differentiate the /ə-a/ contrast by representing both phonemes by one letter in their alphabet, as they have chosen to do. The proposed phonemes and the letters that represent them may now be tested with more members of the language community.

Some initial evidence on possible vowel length and tone contrasts in the language was presented, but an analysis of prosodic contrasts is left for others to research, noting the existing analysis by Ibrahim & Huttenga of prosodic contrasts in the Tagle dialect.<sup>13</sup>

- 230
- ABDALLA KUKU, Nabil. "Statement about [the] Tone Feature in Laru." Presented to the Nuba Phonology Workshop at the 44th Colloquium on African Languages and Linguistics, Leiden, 2013.
- BIRD, Steven. "When Marking Tone Reduces Fluency: An Orthography Experiment in Cameroon." Language and Speech 42 (1998): pp. 83–115.
- IBRAHIM, Gumma and Piet HUTTENGA. "The Phoneme System of Tagle, a Kordofanian Nubian language." In Advances in Nilo-Saharan Linguistics: Proceedings of the 8th Nilo-Saharan Linguistics Colloquium, University of Hamburg, August 22–25, 2001, edited by Mechthild Reh and Doris Payne. Cologne: Rüdiger Köppe, 2007: pp. 99–113.
- Jabr El Dar, Khalifa [Khalifa Jabreldar Khalifa]. "Towards a General Orthography of Ajang Languages." In Insights into Nilo-Saharan Language, History and Culture: Proceedings of the 9th Nilo-Saharan Linguistics Colloquium, Institute of African and Asian Studies, University of Khartoum, 16–19 February 2004, edited by Al-Amin Abu Manga, Leoma Gilley, and Anne Storch. Cologne: Rüdiger Köppe, 2006: pp. 183–99.
- Kutsch-Lojenga, Constance. "Participatory Research in Linguistics." Notes on Linguistics 73 (1996): pp. 13–27.
- Lewis, M. Paul, Gary F. Simons, and Charles D. Fennig. Ethnologue: Languages of the World, Seventeenth Edition. Dallas, Texas: Sil International, 2014. Online version: www.ethnologue.com
- THELWALL, Robin. Lexicostatistical Relations between Nubian, Daju and Dinka. University of Ulster, 1978.