

Fairfield University
DigitalCommons@Fairfield

Physics Faculty Publications

Physics Department

1-1-2006

Search for the Θ^{+} Pentaquark in the $\gamma d \rightarrow AnK^{+}$ Reaction Measured with the CLAS Spectrometer

S. Niccolai

Angela Biselli Fairfield University, abiselli@fairfield.edu

CLAS Collaboration

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.fairfield.edu/physics-facultypubs Copyright American Physical Society Publisher final version available at http://prl.aps.org/pdf/ PRL/v97/i3/e032001

Peer Reviewed

Repository Citation

Niccolai, S.; Biselli, Angela; and CLAS Collaboration, "Search for the Θ^{+} Pentaquark in the $\gamma d \rightarrow \Lambda nK^{+}$ Reaction Measured with the CLAS Spectrometer" (2006). *Physics Faculty Publications*. 76. https://digitalcommons.fairfield.edu/physics-facultypubs/76

Published Citation

Niccolai, Silvia, et al. "Search for the Θ^{+} Pentaquark in the $\gamma d \rightarrow \Lambda nK^{+}$ Reaction Measured with the CLAS Spectrometer." Physical review letters 97.3 (2006): 032001. 10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.032001

This item has been accepted for inclusion in DigitalCommons@Fairfield by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@Fairfield. It is brought to you by DigitalCommons@Fairfield with permission from the rights-holder(s) and is protected by copyright and/or related rights. You are free to use this item in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses, you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/or on the work itself. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@fairfield.edu.

Search for the Θ^+ Pentaquark in the $\gamma d \rightarrow \Lambda n K^+$ Reaction Measured with the CLAS Spectrometer

S. Niccolai, ¹ M. Mirazita, ² P. Rossi, ² N. A. Baltzell, ³ D. S. Carman, ⁴ K. Hicks, ⁴ B. McKinnon, ⁵ T. Mibe, ⁴ S. Stepanyan, ⁶ D. J. Tedeschi, ³ G. Adams, ³⁰ P. Ambrozewicz, ¹⁷ S. Anefalos Pereira, ^{2,38} M. Anghinolfi, ²¹ G. Asryan, ³⁷ H. Avakian, ⁶
 H. Bagdasaryan, ²⁹ N. Baillie, ³⁶ J. P. Ball, ⁸ V. Batourine, ²⁴ M. Battaglieri, ²¹ I. Bedlinskiy, ²² M. Bektasoglu, ⁴ M. Bellis, ^{30,11} N. Benmouna, ¹⁹ B. L. Berman, ¹⁹ A. S. Biselli, ¹¹ S. Boiarinov, ⁶ S. Bouchigny, ¹ R. Bradford, ¹¹ D. Branford, ¹⁶
 W. J. Briscoe, ¹⁹ W. K. Brooks, ⁶ S. Bültmann, ²⁹ V. D. Burkert, ⁶ C. Butuceanu, ³⁶ J. R. Calarco, ²⁷ S. L. Careccia, ²⁰
 B. Carnahan, ¹² S. Chen, ¹⁸ P. L. Cole, ^{12,20,6} P. Collins, ⁸ P. Coltharp, ¹⁸ D. Crabb, ³⁵ H. Crannell, ¹² V. Crede, ¹⁸
 J. P. Cummings, ³⁰ N. Dashyan, ³⁷ P. V. Degtyarenko, ⁶ R. De Masi, ¹³ A. Deppman, ³⁸ E. De Sanctis, ² A. Deur, ⁶ R. DeVita, ²¹
 K. V. Dharmawardane, ²⁰ C. Djalali, ³ G. E. Dodge, ²⁰ J. Donnelly, ⁵ D. Doughty, ¹⁴⁶ M. Dugger, ⁸ O. P. Dzyubak, ³
 H. Egiyan, ⁶ K. S. Egiyan, ³⁷ L. El Fassi, ⁷ L. Elouadrhiri, ⁶ P. Eugenio, ¹⁸ G. Fedotov, ²⁶ G. Feldman, ¹⁹ H. Funsten, ³⁶
 M. Garçon, ¹³ G. Gavalian, ^{27,29} G. P. Gilfoyle, ³² K. L. Giovanetti, ²³ F. X. Girod, ¹³ J. T. Goetz, ⁹ A. Gonenc, ¹⁷
 C. I. O. Gordon, ⁵ R. W. Gothe, ³ K. A. Griffioen, ³⁶ M. Guidal, ¹ N. Guler, ³⁰ L. Guo, ⁶ V. Gyurjyan, ⁶ C. Hadjidakis, ¹ K. Hafdii, ⁷ H. Hakobyan, ³⁷ R. S. Hakobyan, ¹² J. Hardie, ^{14,6} F. W. Hersman, ²⁷ I. Heiqawi, ⁴ M. Holtrop, ²⁷
 C. E. Hyde-Wright, ²⁹ Y. Ilieva, ¹⁹ D. G. Ireland, ⁵ B. S. Ishkhanov, ²⁶ E. L. Supov, ²⁶ M. M. Ito, ⁶ D. Jenkins, ³⁴ H. S. Jo, ¹ K. Joo, ¹⁵ H. G. Juengsti, ^{19,2} J. D. Kellie, ⁵ M. Khandaker, ²⁸ W. Kim, ²⁴ A. Klein, ³⁹ S. V. Kulieshov, ²² J. Lac

(CLAS Collaboration)

¹Institut de Physique Nucléaire, Orsay, France

²INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, 00044 Frascati, Italy

³University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208, USA

⁴Ohio University, Athens, Ohio 45701, USA

⁵University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom

⁶Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, Virginia 23606, USA

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA

⁸Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287-1504, USA

⁹University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095-1547, USA

⁰California State University, Dominguez Hills, Carson, California 90747, USA

¹Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, USA

¹²Catholic University of America, Washington, D.C. 20064, USA

¹³CEA-Saclay, Service de Physique Nucléaire, F91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

¹⁴Christopher Newport University, Newport News, Virginia 23606, USA

¹⁵University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269, USA

¹⁶Edinburgh University, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom ¹⁷Florida International University, Miami, Florida 33199, USA

¹⁸Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA

¹⁹The George Washington University, Washington, D.C. 20052, USA

²⁰Idaho State University, Pocatello, Idaho 83209, USA

²¹INFN, Sezione di Genova, 16146 Genova, Italy

0031-9007/06/97(3)/032001(6)

²²Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, 117259, Russia ²³James Madison University, Harrisonburg, Virginia 22807, USA ²⁴Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Republic of Korea ²⁵University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003, USA ²⁶General Nuclear Physics Institute, Moscow State University, 119899 Moscow, Russia ²⁷University of New Hampshire, Durham, New Hampshire 03824-3568, USA ²⁸Norfolk State University, Norfolk, Virginia 23504, USA ²⁹Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia 23529, USA ³⁰Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, New York 12180-3590, USA ³¹Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005-1892, USA ³²University of Richmond, Richmond, Virginia 23173, USA ³³Union College, Schenectady, New York 12308, USA ³⁴Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061-0435, USA

³⁵University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901, USA

³⁶College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia 23187-8795, USA
 ³⁷Yerevan Physics Institute, 375036 Yerevan, Armenia

³⁸Physics Institute, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil

(Received 26 April 2006; published 17 July 2006)

For the first time, the reaction $\gamma d \rightarrow \Lambda n K^+$ has been analyzed in order to search for the exotic pentaquark baryon $\Theta^+(1540)$. The data were taken at Jefferson Laboratory, using the Hall-B taggedphoton beam of energy between 0.8 and 3.6 GeV and the CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer (CLAS). No statistically significant structures were observed in the nK^+ invariant-mass distribution. The upper limit on the $\gamma d \to \Lambda \Theta^+$ integrated cross section has been calculated and found to be between 5 and 25 nb, depending on the production model assumed. The upper limit on the differential cross section is also reported.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.032001

PACS numbers: 12.39.Mk, 13.60.Rj, 14.20.Jn

Since the first publication of the observation of the new state $\Theta^+(1540)$ in the year 2003 [1], the possible existence of exotic baryons that have quantum numbers which require a minimum quark content of $qqqq\bar{q}$ has generated tremendous interest in the physics community. Although the idea of exotic pentaquark states was introduced originally in the early 1970s, the specific prediction for both a mass of 1530 MeV/ c^2 and a narrow width of less than 15 MeV/ c^2 , which motivated the first measurement at LEPS/SPring-8 [1], was made in 1997 by Diakonov et al. [2]. Within the framework of their chiral soliton model, they predicted the Θ^+ to be an isosinglet member of a J = $\frac{1}{2}$ + antidecuplet of pentaquark states, having an exotic flavor quantum number $S(\Theta^+) = +1$ and a minimal quark content of uudds.

Experimental evidence for the Θ^+ state has been claimed in several published works [1,3-11]. The observation of a candidate for the anticharmed equivalent of the Θ^+ (*uudd* \bar{c}), of mass 3.1 GeV/ c^2 , has been claimed by the H1 Collaboration [12]. One experiment [13] also reported the observation of two other pentaquarks, Ξ_5^{--} and Ξ_5^{0} . However, several reports of nonobservation of pentaquarks have also been made [12, 14-30]. Moreover, the statistical significances of the observed Θ^+ signals are rather low, and there are discrepancies in the measured masses. The still-open question of the existence of narrow five-quark baryons can therefore be addressed only by performing a second generation of dedicated, high-statistics experiments. The CLAS Collaboration is currently pursuing high-statistics searches for the Θ^+ through photoproduction on hydrogen [31] and deuterium [32] targets and in various final states.

Searching for the Θ^+ through photoproduction from a deuterium nucleus together with a Λ hyperon has various experimental advantages. The main advantage of this reaction channel is that there are no competing channels to remove in the final state, while at the same time it excludes kinematical reflections [33]. In fact, while in other channels such as pK^+K^-n or pK^+K^0p the production of heavy mesons decaying into two kaons can simulate a peak in the NK mass spectrum as a result of the reduction of the phase space due to the experimental acceptance, in the ΛnK^+ final state the presence of only one kaon excludes such an effect. Moreover, the presence of the Λ provides a "strangeness tag" $(S_{\Lambda} = -1)$ in both the nK^+ and the pK^0 decay modes. Figure 1 shows a possible diagram that could lead to Θ^+ production via a two-step process. The photon interacts with one of the nucleons in the deuteron and produces a Λ and a kaon. The Λ leaves the target nucleus, while the K rescatters on the spectator nucleon to form a Θ^+ . The rescattering probability is determined by the deuteron wave function and the KN scattering cross section. This kind of process has been taken into account by Guzey [34] to calculate the total and differential cross section for the $\gamma d \rightarrow \Lambda \Theta^+$ reaction. Also, calculations of the KN rescattering amplitude and the probability of production of a narrow resonant state have been performed by Laget [35].

We have searched for the Θ^+ in the $\gamma d \rightarrow \Lambda n K^+$ reaction using the G10 data [28,32] that were taken with the



FIG. 1. A possible reaction mechanism for the photoproduction of $\Lambda \Theta^+$ from a deuterium nucleus.

CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer (CLAS) (the results of our analysis on the pK^0 mode, currently underway, will be presented in an upcoming publication). The data were taken during spring 2004 with the Hall-B taggedphoton beam [36] of energy between 0.8 and 3.6 GeV, impinging on a 24-cm-long liquid-deuterium target. Two different values for the CLAS torus magnetic field [37] were chosen for the two halves of the experiment. The run with a lower magnetic field had higher acceptance for negative particles in the forward direction and has been used for this analysis. For this part of the experiment, an integrated luminosity of 31 pb⁻¹ has been achieved. The run with a higher magnetic field was taken to reproduce the same acceptance and track resolution of the data used for the CLAS published result in the pK^+K^-n channel [4] but had very low acceptance for the $\gamma d \rightarrow \Lambda n K^+$ reaction, giving approximately a factor of 6 less statistics than the low-field run, and was therefore not used for the analysis discussed in this Letter.

Since CLAS is mainly efficient for the detection of charged particles, the $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ decay mode was chosen. The final state was determined exclusively, identifying the 3 charged particles (p, π^-, K^+) through their momenta and times of flight measured in CLAS, reconstructing the neutron with the missing mass technique (Fig. 2, top plot) and the Λ via the $p\pi^-$ invariant mass (Fig. 2, bottom plot). Selection cuts 3σ wide were placed around both the neutron peak in the missing mass and the Λ peak in the invariant mass, as shown by the dotted lines in Fig. 2. The value of σ was determined by a Gaussian fit to the experimental distributions.

The $p\pi^-nK^+$ final state can also arise from the $\gamma d \rightarrow \Sigma^- pK^+$ channel, when the Σ^- decays weakly into $n\pi^-$. In order to study this possible source of background, the distribution of the missing mass of the pK^+ system has been studied. As expected, the Σ^- peak (Fig. 3, crosses) disappears after applying the Λ selection cut on the $p\pi^-$ invariant mass (circles).

After selecting the ΛnK^+ events, the Θ^+ signal was searched for in the invariant mass of the nK^+ system. The result obtained is shown in the top plot in Fig. 4. Since the



FIG. 2. Top plot: Missing mass of $\gamma d \rightarrow p \pi^- K^+ X$, showing a peak at the neutron mass. The particle identification cuts for the three charged particles and the selection cut on the Λ have been applied. Bottom plot: Invariant mass of the $p\pi^-$ system, showing a peak at the Λ mass. The particle identification cuts for the three charged particles and the selection cut on the neutron mass have been applied. For both plots, the vertical dotted lines represent the 3σ selection cuts (with $\sigma_n = 0.009 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $\sigma_{\Lambda} = 0.002 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, from a Gaussian fit) applied to select the final state.

 nK^+ mass spectrum does not show any evident structure, two kinds of kinematical cuts were subsequently imposed based on the model of Ref. [34] in order to try to enhance a possible Θ^+ signal over the nonresonant nK^+ background: (i) "non-spectator-neutron cuts," where the nonresonant



FIG. 3. Missing mass of pK^+ before (crosses) and after (circles) applying the Λ selection cut. The Σ^- signal, visible before applying the Λ cut, is eliminated when the ΛnK^+ events are selected.



FIG. 4. Invariant-mass distributions of the nK^+ system after channel selection. Top plot: No kinematical cuts are applied. Bottom plot: The $E_{\gamma} < 1.6$ GeV and $p_n > 0.2$ GeV/*c* kinematical cuts are applied. No statistically significant structure is visible in the mass range around 1.54 GeV/ c^2 , indicated by the arrows. The third-order polynomial fit used for the upper limit estimate is shown.

 nK^+ background can be suppressed by removing the events in which the neutron is a spectator, having momentum given by the Fermi-momentum distribution in the deuteron, and (ii) "photon-energy cuts," since, according to the model [34], the $\gamma d \rightarrow \Lambda \Theta^+$ cross section decreases rapidly with increasing photon energy. Several cuts on the neutron momentum (p_n) and on the photon energy (E_{γ}) have been tried. However, also under these stringent kinematic conditions, no narrow peaks having statistical significance can be observed in the mass region around 1.54 GeV/ c^2 . An example is given in the bottom plot in Fig. 4, where the kinematic requirements $p_n > 0.2 \text{ GeV}/c$ and $E_{\gamma} < 1.6$ GeV are applied. A parallel analysis based upon a kinematic fitting procedure led to equivalent final results. In this procedure, the measured momenta and angles of p, π^- , and K^+ were adjusted, within the experimental resolution, using energy and momentum conservation, while the missing neutron mass was kept fixed at its nominal value.

Since no structures having relevant statistical significance appear in the nK^+ invariant mass for any of the kinematic cuts that have been studied, the upper limit on the cross section has been calculated for $p_n > 0.2 \text{ GeV}/c$ and $E_{\gamma} < 1.6 \text{ GeV}$. For each bin in $M(nK^+)$, the number of events above the background was calculated as follows: The nK^+ distribution was fitted with a third-order polynomial (as shown in the bottom plot in Fig. 4), and then a second fit was performed by fixing the third-order polynomial and adding a Gaussian curve having a fixed centroid at the $M(nK^+)$ bin under examination and a width equal to 5 MeV/ c^2 . This width corresponds to the invariant-mass resolution of CLAS determined via Monte Carlo simulations. Only the amplitude of the Gaussian was left as a free parameter for the fit. The yield above or below the curve describing the background is therefore given by the integral of the Gaussian. The upper limit at the 95% confidence level on the yield was calculated using the Feldman-Cousins method [38]. The acceptance has been computed with the aid of a Monte Carlo simulation reproducing the response of CLAS, with three different models used to generate the ΛnK^+ final state: (a) a two-body $(\Lambda \Theta^+)$ phase space, followed by the decay $\Theta^+ \rightarrow nK^+$, with an energy-independent cross section and a bremsstrahlung photon-energy distribution; (b) a ΛnK^+ final state for which the kinematical variables are tuned to match the experimental data; and (c) a two-body ($\Lambda \Theta^+$) final state based upon the model of Guzey [34], followed by the decay $\Theta^+ \rightarrow nK^+$. The integrated acceptances obtained with models (a) and (b) are comparable and are of the order of 0.5%. Model (c) produces most of the Λ 's (i.e. π^{-1} 's) in the very forward direction, where CLAS has no acceptance for negative particles, and thus it gives an integrated acceptance about a factor of 5 smaller than for models (a) and (b). Therefore, the integrated acceptance is strongly model dependent. The $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ decay branching ratio (64%) was included in the calculation of the acceptance, as well as the Θ^+ decay branching ratio for the nK^+ mode, which was assumed to be 50%. The photon flux was measured by integrating the tagged-photon rate during the data-acquisition livetime. The tagging efficiency was measured during dedicated low-flux runs, using a lead-glass total-absorption detector [36]. The resulting upper limit on the $\gamma d \rightarrow \Lambda \Theta^+$ total cross section is shown, as a function of $M(nK^+)$, in the top plot in Fig. 5. In the mass range between 1.52 and 1.56 GeV/ c^2 , the upper limit is 5 nb. Here the acceptance obtained with model (a) has been used. Adopting model (c) to extract the total cross section gives an upper limit about a factor of 5 larger than the one shown in Fig. 5.

The upper limit on the $\gamma d \rightarrow \Lambda \Theta^+$ differential cross section as a function of the momentum transfer *t*, with $t = (p_{\gamma}^{\mu} - p_{\Lambda}^{\mu})^2$, has also been calculated, again for $p_n > 0.2 \text{ GeV}/c$ and $E_{\gamma} < 1.6 \text{ GeV}$. The data were divided into five *t* bins, as shown in the lower plot in Fig. 5. For each *t* bin, the upper limit on the cross section was extracted according to the procedure described above for the total cross section, using the acceptances given by models (a) (triangles) and (c) (circles). The maximum value of the upper limit in the $M(nK^+) = 1.52-1.56 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ range for each *t* bin was then used to get the upper limit on the differential cross section, as shown in the bottom plot in Fig. 5. It varies between $0.5 \text{ nb}/(\text{GeV}/c)^2$ at the highest values of -t and 30 nb/(GeV/c)² as *t* approaches 0. The kinematic region



FIG. 5. Top: Upper limit (at the 95% confidence level) on the $\gamma d \rightarrow \Lambda \Theta^+$ total cross section as a function of the nK^+ invariant mass. Model (a) has been used to extract the integrated acceptance. Bottom: Upper limit of the differential cross section $d\sigma/dt$ as a function of *t*, for $1.52 < M(nK^+) < 1.56 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. The triangles and the circles represent, respectively, the results obtained using models (a) and (c).

at small t values, however, corresponds to the forwardmost part of the spectrometer, where the acceptance drops to zero. This explains the higher value on the upper limit for the last bin in Fig. 5.

In conclusion, for the first time a search for the exotic pentaquark Θ^+ in the $\gamma d \rightarrow \Lambda n K^+$ reaction was performed. The high-statistics CLAS-G10 data were used for this search, and the final state was cleanly identified with a small background contribution. No statistically significant signal was observed in the nK^+ invariantmass distribution, even under several different kinematic conditions. Upper limits on the total cross section were calculated in the mass range between 1.52 and 1.56 GeV/ c^2 and for $p_n > 0.2$ GeV/c and $E_{\gamma} <$ 1.6 GeV and found to be 5 nb when computed with the phase-space Monte Carlo acceptance, while this number increases by a factor of 5 if the Guzey model is used. The upper limit on the differential cross section as a function of t has also been extracted and found to be between 0.5 and 30 nb/(GeV/c)². Assuming the Λ *t*-channel production mechanism and the cross section estimates proposed by Guzey, these upper limits exclude the existence of a pentaquark having an intrinsic width greater than 10 MeV in the mass range between 1.52 and 1.56 GeV/ c^2 .

We thank the staff of the Accelerator and Physics Divisions at Jefferson Laboratory, who made this experiment possible. Acknowledgments for the support of this experiment go also to the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, the French Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and Commissariát a l'Energie Atomique, the United Kingdom Engineering and Physical Science Research Council, the U.S. Department of Energy and the National Science Foundation, and the Korea Research Foundation. The Southeastern Universities Research Association (SURA) operates the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility under U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC05-84ER40150.

- *Current address: University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824-3568, USA.
- [†]Current address: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA.
- [‡]Current address: Catholic University of America, Washington, D.C. 20064, USA.
- [§]Current address: Physikalisches Institut der Universitaet Giessen, 35392 Giessen, Germany.
- Current address: Edinburgh University, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom.

[¶]Current address: Current address: University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA.

- T. Nakano *et al.* (LEPS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 012002 (2003).
- [2] D. Diakonov, V. Petrov, and M. V. Polyakov, Z. Phys. A 359, 305 (1997).
- [3] V. Barmin *et al.* (DIANA Collaboration), Phys. At. Nucl. 66, 1715 (2003).
- [4] S. Stepanyan *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 252001 (2003).
- [5] V. Kubarovsky *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 032001 (2004); **92**, 049902(E) (2004).
- [6] J. Barth *et al.* (SAPHIR Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 572, 127 (2003).
- [7] A. Airapetian *et al.* (HERMES Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 585, 213 (2004).
- [8] S. Chekanov *et al.* (ZEUS Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 591, 7 (2004).
- [9] A. E. Asratyan, A. G. Dolgolenko, and M. A. Kubantsev, Yad. Fiz. 67, 704 (2004); [Phys. At. Nucl. 67, 682 (2004)].
- [10] A. Aleev *et al.* (SVD Collaboration), Yad. Fiz. **68**, 1012 (2005) [Phys. At. Nucl. **68**, 974 (2005)].
- [11] M. Abdel-Bary *et al.* (COSY-TOF Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **595**, 127 (2004).
- [12] A. Aktas *et al.* (H1 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 588, 17 (2004).
- [13] C. Alt *et al.* (NA49 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 042003 (2004).
- [14] S. Schael *et al.* (ALEPH Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **599**, 1 (2004).
- [15] B. Aubert et al. (BABAR Collaboration), hep-ex/040806.
- [16] K. Abe *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), hep-ex/0411005.
- [17] J.Z. Bai *et al.* (BES Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 70, 012004 (2004).
- I. V. Gorelov *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), hep-ex/0408025;
 D. O. Litvintsev *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. **142**, 374 (2005).

- [19] K. Stenson *et al.* (FOCUS Collaboration), Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **20**, 3745 (2005).
- [20] I. Abt *et al.* (HERA-B Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 212003 (2004); K. T. Knopfle, M. Zavertyaev, and T. Zivko (HERA-B Collaboration), J. Phys. G **30**, S1363 (2004).
- [21] M. J. Longo *et al.* (HyperCP Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 70, 111101(R) (2004).
- [22] J. Napolitano, J. Cummings, and M. Witkowski, hep-ex/ 0412031.
- [23] S. R. Armstrong, Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. 142, 364 (2005).
- [24] C. Pinkerburg (PHENIX Collaboration), J. Phys. G **30**, S1201 (2004).
- [25] Y. M. Antipov *et al.* (SPHINX Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. A 21, 455 (2004).
- [26] M. I. Adamovich *et al.* (WA89 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 70, 022201 (2004).
- [27] M. Battaglieri *et al.* (CLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 042001 (2006).

- [28] B. McKinnon *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 212001 (2006).
- [29] A. Airapetian *et al.* (HERMES Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 71, 032004 (2005).
- [30] E. S. Ageev *et al.* (COMPASS Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C **41**, 469 (2005).
- [31] M. Battaglieri *et al.*, Jefferson Laboratory experiment E04-021.
- [32] K. Hicks *et al.*, Jefferson Laboratory experiment E03-113.
- [33] A.R. Dzierba *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 051901(R) (2004).
- [34] V. Guzey, Phys. Rev. C 69, 065203 (2004).
- [35] J. M. Laget, nucl-th/0603009.
- [36] D. Sober *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **440**, 263 (2000).
- [37] B. Mecking *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **503**, 513 (2003).
- [38] G.D. Feldman and R.D. Cousins, Phys. Rev. D 57, 3873 (1998).